arXiv:1301.4210v1 [math.AG] 17 Jan 2013

BIVARIANT ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM

JOSÉ LUIS GONZÁLEZ AND KALLE KARU

ABSTRACT. We associate a bivariant theory to any suitable oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on the category of algebraic schemes or the category of algebraic G-schemes. Applying this to the theory of algebraic cobordism yields operational cobordism rings and operational G-equivariant cobordism rings associated to all schemes in these categories. In the case of toric varieties, the operational T-equivariant cobordism ring may be described as the ring of piecewise graded power series on the fan with coefficients in the Lazard ring.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Refined Oriented Borel-Moore pre-Homology Theories 4
3. Operational Bivariant Theories 10
4. The Equivariant Version of an ROBM pre-Homology Theory 17
5. Descent Sequences 21
6. An Overview of Algebraic Cobordism Theory 29
7. Operational Equivariant Cobordism of Toric Varieties 32
References 38

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to study the *operational bivariant theory* B^* associated to a *refined oriented Borel-Moore pre-homology theory* B_*, and the equivariant versions of these theories. We apply this to the algebraic cobordism theory Ω_* of Levine and Morel [15] to construct the *operational bivariant cobordism theory* Ω^*. As an application, we describe the *operational* T*-equivariant cobordism* $\Omega^*_T(X_\Delta)$ for a toric variety X_Δ.

Bivariant theories were defined by Fulton and MacPherson [8, 7]. A bivariant theory assigns a group $B^*(X \to Y)$ to every morphism $X \to Y$ of schemes. The theory contains both a covariant homology theory $B_*(X) = B^*(X \to \mathrm{pt})$ and a contravariant cohomology theory $B^*(X) = B^*(\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to X)$, but the bivariant theory can be more general in the

---

This research was funded by NSERC Discovery and Accelerator grants.

sense that there may be invariants of the theory that are not determined by homology and cohomology alone [8]. Starting with a suitable homology theory $B_*(X)$, one can extend it to a bivariant theory B^*. The definition of this bivariant theory is a generalization of the construction of Fulton and MacPherson in [8, 7] of a bivariant theory A^* associated to the Chow theory A_*. The elements of $B^*(X \to Y)$ are certain compatible operators on the homology groups B_*, hence the bivariant theory is called the operational bivariant theory. The only bivariant theories we consider in this paper are the operational ones. An operational bivariant theory can be viewed as a method of constructing a cohomology theory B^* out of a homology theory B_*. The cohomology theory takes values in rings, hence there is a well-defined intersection product in this theory. The Chern class operators naturally lie in the cohomology B^*.

The operational cohomology theory B^* at first seems very intractable. A single element of $B^*(X)$ is defined by an infinite set of homomorphisms. However, Kimura [12] has shown that, in case of Chow theory A_*, the bivariant cohomology groups $A^*(X)$ for an arbitrary variety X can often be computed if one knows the homology groups $A_*(Y)$ for smooth varieties Y. Payne [18] carried out this computation for the equivariant Chow cohomology A_T^* of toric varieties. By a result of Brion [3], the T-equivariant Chow ring $A_T^*(X_\Delta)$ of a smooth toric variety X_Δ can be identified with the group of integral piecewise polynomial functions on the fan Δ. Payne showed that the ring of such functions on an arbitrary fan Δ gives the operational T-equivariant Chow cohomology $A_T^*(X_\Delta)$. A similar computation in the case of K-theory is done by Anderson and Payne [1]. Brion and Vergne [4] (see also Vezzosi and Vistoli [20]) have proved that the T- equivariant K-theory ring of a smooth toric variety X_Δ is isomorphic to the ring of integral piecewise exponential functions on the fan Δ. Anderson and Payne show that for an arbitrary fan Δ this ring gives the operational T-equivariant K-cohomology of the variety X_Δ.

One of the goals of this article is to extend the results of Payne and Anderson-Payne to the case of algebraic cobordism. The T-equivariant algebraic cobordism of smooth toric varieties was computed by Krishna and Uma [14]. Using the same terminology as in the case of Chow theory and K-theory, the equivariant cobordism ring $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta)$ of a smooth toric variety X_Δ can be identified with the ring of piecewise graded power series on the fan Δ, with coefficients in the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$. We will prove that for a (quasiprojective) fan Δ the same ring is isomorphic to the operational T-equivariant cobordism ring $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta)$ of the variety X_Δ.

We start by constructing the operational bivariant theory B^* for as large a class of homology theories B_* as possible. To carry out the construction of the operational bivariant theory, it suffices to assume that B_* is a *refined oriented Borel-Moore pre-homology theory* (ROBM pre-homology theory). This is a weakening of the notion of oriented Borel-Moore homology theory [15, Definition 5.3.1] with refined Gysin homomorphisms, where we do not require the projective bundle, extended homotopy and the cellular decomposition properties. The various constructions can be summarized by a diagram as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_*^G \arrow[r] & B_G^* \\
B_* \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & B^*. \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Each horizontal arrow associates to an ROBM pre-homology theory its operational bivariant theory. This step can be applied to an arbitrary ROBM pre-homology theory B_*, including its equivariant version B_*^G for a linear algebraic group G. The vertical arrows associate to a theory its G-equivariant version using Totaro's algebraic approximation of the Borel construction from topology [19]. For these constructions to be well-defined, we need to assume that the ROBM pre-homology theory B_* has the localization and homotopy properties. The construction of B_*^G is a direct generalization of similar constructions in Chow theory by Totaro [19] and by Edidin and Graham [5], and in algebraic cobordism by Krishna [13] and by Heller and Malagón-López [11].

We will prove that the above square commutes; more precisely, the two ways to construct B_G^* agree if we assume that the original theory B_* has certain exact descent sequences for envelopes. Such sequences were first proved by Gillet [9] in K-theory and Chow theory, and they were used by Edidin and Graham [5] to prove the commutativity of the square above for Chow theory. The Edidin-Graham proof can be generalized to an arbitrary ROBM pre-homology theory, but the descent property depends on the theory. The descent property for the algebraic cobordism theory was proved in [10].

The descent property in the Chow theory was used by Kimura in [12] to give an inductive construction of operational Chow cohomology classes. We will generalize Kimura's proofs to arbitrary ROBM pre-homology theories that satisfy the descent property.

Levine and Morel [15] showed that the algebraic cobordism theory is universal among all oriented Borel-Moore homology theories. We can not prove any similar universality statement for the operational bivariant theory or its cohomology. Yokura [21] has proposed a geometric method for constructing a bivariant algebraic cobordism theory $\tilde{\Omega}^*$, which would be universal among a class of oriented bivariant theories. The homology of this bivariant theory $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ is expected to be the algebraic cobordism Ω_*. By universality, there should exist a natural transformation from Yokura's bivariant $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ to the operational Ω^*, restricting to an isomorphism between the homology theories. To relate these two theories would then be an interesting problem.

The paper is organized as follows. We define refined oriented Borel-Moore pre-homology theories in § 2. In § 3 we define bivariant theories and associate the operational bivariant theory B^* to any ROBM pre-homology theory B_* in the categories Sch_k and G-Sch_k, which among other properties has the original theory B_* as its associated homology theory (see

Proposition 3.1) and has the Poincaré duality isomorphism between homology and cohomology in the nonsingular case (see Proposition 3.2). In § 4 we start from any ROBM pre-homology theory B_* on Sch_k that satisfies the localization and homotopy properties and construct the G-equivariant ROBM pre-homology theory B^G_* on G-Sch_k by taking a limit over successively better approximations of the Borel construction. In § 5 we show that if B_* has exact descent sequences (5.1), then the computation of bivariant classes can be inductively reduced to the nonsingular case (see Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.3), and that furthermore the operational equivariant theory B^*_G can alternatively be computed by applying the limit construction directly to the operational theory B^* (see Proposition 5.2).

In § 6 we overview the theory of algebraic cobordism Ω_*. We conclude this article in § 7 by showing in Theorem 7.2 that the operational T-equivariant cobordism ring of a toric variety can be described as the ring of piecewise graded power series on the fan with coefficients in the Lazard ring.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Dave Anderson, William Fulton and Sam Payne for insightful conversations. This work started by trying to understand the operational equivariant K-theory of toric varieties constructed by Dave Anderson and Sam Payne.

2. REFINED ORIENTED BOREL-MOORE PRE-HOMOLOGY THEORIES

2.1. **Notation and Conventions.**

2.1.1. Throughout this article all of our schemes will be defined over a fixed field k. We denote by Sch_k the category of separated finite type schemes over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ and by Sch'_k the subcategory of Sch_k with the same objects but whose morphisms are the projective morphisms. We denote by Sm_k the full subcategory of Sch_k of smooth and quasiprojective schemes. By a smooth morphism we always mean a smooth and quasiprojective morphism. Ab_* will denote the category of graded abelian groups.

2.1.2. Let G be a linear algebraic group. A G-linearization of a line bundle $f : L \to X$ over the G-scheme X, is a G-action $\Phi : G \times L \to L$ on L such that f is G-equivariant and for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$ the action map $\Phi_g : L_x \to L_{gx}$ is linear. We denote by G-Sch_k the category whose objects are the separated finite type G-schemes over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ that admit an ample G-linearizable line bundle and whose morphisms are G-equivariant morphisms. We denote by G-Sch'_k the subcategory of G-Sch_k with the same objects but whose morphisms are the projective G-equivariant morphisms. Note that all schemes in G-Sch_k are assumed to be quasiprojective; this is needed in the construction of equivariant theories using the GIT quotients.

2.1.3. In §§ 5-7 we will assume that k has characteristic zero and in §§ 4.2-4.4 we will assume that k is infinite. The assumption on the characteristic of k is only meant to guarantee the existence of smooth projective envelopes in the categories Sch_k and $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ and to provide the setting for the use of Levine-Pandharipande's version of algebraic cobordism, which requires resolution of singularities by projective morphisms, weak factorization for birational maps and some Bertini-type theorems that hold in characteristic zero. The assumption on the cardinality of k is only used explicitly in the proof of Proposition 4.3.

2.1.4. We call a morphism $f : Z \to X$ in one of the categories $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_k$ or $\mathcal{C} = G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ a locally complete intersection morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ or simply an l.c.i. morphism in $\mathcal{C}$, if there exist a regular embedding $i : Z \to Y$ and a smooth morphism $g : Y \to X$, with g and i in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $f = gi$. When we work in the category $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ and we say that a morphism f is an equivariant l.c.i. morphism or simply an l.c.i. morphism we mean that f is an l.c.i. morphism in $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$. We follow the convention that smooth morphisms, and more generally l.c.i. morphisms, are assumed to have a relative dimension. If $f : X \to Y$ is an l.c.i. morphism of relative dimension d (or relative codimension $-d$) and Y is irreducible, then X is a scheme of pure dimension equal to $\dim Y + d$.

2.2. **ROBM pre-Homology Theories.**

2.2.1. For simplicity, we unify the treatment of the cases when the ambient category is Sch_k or $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ for some algebraic group G. Therefore, through the rest of this section we fix the category $\mathcal{C}$, which is either Sch_k or $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$, and we assume that all the schemes and morphisms are in $\mathcal{C}$ (e.g. the statement *for any morphism* should be interpreted as *for any morphism in* $\mathcal{C}$). Likewise, when $\mathcal{C} = G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$, by an l.c.i. morphism we mean an equivariant l.c.i. morphism. The category $\mathcal{C}'$ is defined to be Sch'_k or $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}'_k$, depending on whether $\mathcal{C}$ is equal to Sch_k or $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$, respectively.

Let us start by recalling the definition of a Borel-Moore functor on $\mathcal{C}$ and several extra structures on it from [15].

Definition 2.1. A *Borel-Moore functor* on $\mathcal{C}$ is given by:

(D_1) An *additive* functor $H_* : \mathcal{C}' \to \mathrm{Ab}_*$, i.e., a functor $H_* : \mathcal{C}' \to \mathrm{Ab}_*$ such that for any finite family $(X_1, \ldots, X_r)$ of schemes in $\mathcal{C}'$, the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} H_*(X_i) \to H_*(\coprod_{i=1}^{r} X_i)$$

induced by the projective morphisms $X_i \subseteq \coprod_{i=1}^{r} X_i$ is an isomorphism.

(D_2) For each smooth equidimensional morphism $f : Y \to X$ of relative dimension d in $\mathcal{C}$ a homomorphism of graded groups

$$f^* : H_*(X) \to H_{*+d}(Y).$$

These data satisfy the following axioms:

(A_1) For any pair of composable smooth equidimensional morphisms $(f : Y \to X, g : Z \to Y)$ of relative dimensions d and e respectively, one has

$$(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^* : H_*(X) \to H_{*+d+e}(Z).$$

In addition, $\mathrm{Id}_X^* = \mathrm{Id}_{H_*(X)}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

(A_2) For any projective morphism $f : X \to Z$ and any smooth equidimensional morphism $g : Y \to Z$, if one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z \end{tikzcd}$$

then

$$g^* f_* = f'_* g'^*.$$

Notation 2.2. For each projective morphism f the homomorphism $H_*(f)$ is denoted f_* and called the *push-forward along* f. For each smooth equidimensional morphism g the homomorphism g^* is called the *pull-back along* g.

Definition 2.3. A *Borel-Moore functor with exterior product* on $\mathcal{C}$ consists of a Borel-Moore functor H_* on $\mathcal{C}$, together with:

(D_3) An element $1 \in H_0(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ and for each pair (X, Y) of schemes in $\mathcal{C}$, a bilinear graded pairing (called the *exterior product*)

$$\times : H_*(X) \times H_*(Y) \to H_*(X \times Y)$$
$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \times \beta$$

which is (strictly) commutative, associative, and admits 1 as unit.

These satisfy

(A_3) Given projective morphisms $f : X \to X'$ and $g : Y \to Y'$ one has that for any classes $\alpha \in H_*(X)$ and $\beta \in H_*(Y)$

$$(f \times g)_*(\alpha \times \beta) = f_*(\alpha) \times g_*(\beta) \in H_*(X' \times Y').$$

(A_4) Given smooth equidimensional morphisms $f : X \to X'$ and $g : Y \to Y'$ one has that for any classes $\alpha \in H_*(X')$ and $\beta \in H_*(Y')$

$$(f \times g)^*(\alpha \times \beta) = f^*(\alpha) \times g^*(\beta) \in H_*(X \times Y).$$

Remark 2.4. Given a Borel-Moore functor with exterior product H_*, the axioms give $H_*(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ a commutative, graded ring structure, give to each $H_*(X)$ the structure of $H_*(\operatorname{Spec} k)$-module, and imply that the operations f_* and f^* preserve the $H_*(\operatorname{Spec} k)$-module structure.

Definition 2.5. A *Borel-Moore functor with intersection products* on $\mathcal{C}$ is a Borel-Moore functor H_* on $\mathcal{C}$, together with:

(D_4) For each l.c.i. morphism $f : Z \to X$ of relative codimension d and any morphism $g : Y \to X$ giving the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y \arrow[d, "g"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "f"] & X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

a homomorphism of graded groups

$$f^!_g : H_*(Y) \to H_{*-d}(W).$$

These satisfy

(A_5) If $f_1 : Z_1 \to X$ and $f_2 : Z_2 \to Z_1$ are l.c.i. morphisms and $g : Y \to X$ any morphism giving the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_2 \arrow[r, "f_2'"] \arrow[d] & W_1 \arrow[r, "f_1'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y \arrow[d, "g"] \\
Z_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & Z_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

one has $(f_1 \circ f_2)^!_g = (f_2)^!_{g'} \circ (f_1)^!_g$.

(A_6) If $f_1 : Z_1 \to X_1$ and $f_2 : Z_2 \to X_2$ are l.c.i. morphisms of relative codimensions d and e, respectively, and $h_1 : Y \to X_1$ and $h_2 : Y \to X_2$ are arbitrary morphisms giving the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_2'"] & Z_2 \arrow[d, "f_2"] \\
W_1 \arrow[r, "f_1'"] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[r, "h_2"] \arrow[d, "h_1"] & X_2 \\
Z_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & X_1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

one has $(f_1)^!_{h_1 f_2'} \circ (f_2)^!_{h_2} = (f_2)^!_{h_2 f_1'} \circ (f_1)^!_{h_1} : B_*Y \to B_{*-d-e}W$.

(A_7) For any smooth morphism $f : Y \to X$ one has $f^!_{\mathrm{Id}_X} = f^*$.

For any l.c.i morphism $f : Z \to X$, any morphism $g : Y \to X$ and any morphism $h : Y' \to Y$, if one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W' \arrow[r, "f''"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Y' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
W \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y \arrow[d, "g"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "f"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

then

(A_8) If g and f are *Tor-independent* in Sch_k (i.e., if $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for all $j > 0$) then

$$f^!_{gh} = (f')^!_h$$

(A_9) If h is projective then $f^!_g \circ h_* = h'_* \circ f^!_{gh}$.

(A_{10}) If h is smooth equidimensional then $f^!_{gh} \circ h^* = h'^* \circ f^!_g$.

Notation 2.6. Given a Borel-Moore functor with intersection products H_*, for any l.c.i. morphism $f : Z \to X$ of relative codimension d, the map $f^!_{\mathrm{Id}_X} : H_*(X) \to H_{*-d}(Z)$ is called the *l.c.i. pull-back along* f and denoted f^*. For each l.c.i morphism $f : Z \to X$ and each morphism $g : Y \to X$ we call the morphism $f^!_g$ *the refined l.c.i. pull-back along* f *associated to* g. We will usually denote $f^!_g$ simply by $f^!$ with an indication of where it acts. When the l.c.i. morphism f is a regular embedding then $f^!_g$ is called a refined Gysin homomorphism. Refined Gysin homomorphisms and smooth pull-backs can be composed to construct all refined l.c.i. pull-backs.

Definition 2.7. A *Borel-Moore functor with compatible exterior and intersection products* on $\mathcal{C}$ consists of a Borel-Moore functor H_* on $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with exterior products and intersection products that in addition satisfy

(A_{11}) If for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, $f_i : Z_i \to X_i$ is an l.c.i. morphism and $g_i : Y_i \to X_i$ is an arbitrary morphism and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_i \arrow[r, "f'_i"] \arrow[d, "g'_i"] & Y_i \arrow[d, "g_i"] \\
Z_i \arrow[r, "f_i"] & X_i,
\end{tikzcd}$$

one has that for any classes $\alpha_1 \in H_*(Y_1)$ and $\alpha_2 \in H_*(Y_2)$

$$(f_1 \times f_2)^!_{g_1 \times g_2}(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2) = (f_1)^!_{g_1}(\alpha_1) \times (f_2)^!_{g_2}(\alpha_2) \in H_*(W_1 \times W_2).$$

Notation 2.8. We will call a Borel-Moore functor with compatible exterior and intersection products a *refined oriented Borel-Moore pre-homology theory* (ROBM pre-homology theory, for short).

Examples of ROBM pre-homology theories are Chow theory A_* (see [7]), K-theory (i.e. the Grothendieck K-group functor G_0 of the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, graded by $G_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$ -see [15, Example 2.2.5]-), and algebraic cobordism Ω_* (see [15]) on the category Sch_k; and equivariant Chow theory A_*^G and equivariant algebraic cobordism Ω_*^G on the category G-Sch_k constructed as in § 4 (see [5], [13] and [11]).

Levine and Morel in [15] consider the notion of an *oriented Borel-Moore homology theory*, which is an ROBM pre-homology theory but with l.c.i. pull-backs only instead of refined l.c.i. pull-backs, and with additional axioms called projective bundle, extended homotopy and cellular decomposition properties. Because of the refined l.c.i. pull-backs, an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory is not necessarily an ROBM pre-homology theory. However, one can construct refined l.c.i. pull-backs from ordinary l.c.i. pull-backs by deformation to the normal cone argument of Fulton and MacPherson, provided that the theory additionally satisfies the homotopy and localization properties (see § 4 for these properties). We will need the homotopy and localization properties when working with equivariant theories, hence an alternative theory that is sufficient for the constructions below would be a Borel-Moore functor with compatible l.c.i. pull-backs and exterior products, that additionally satisfies the homotopy and localization properties.

Definition 2.9. If H_* is an ROBM pre-homology theory, for any line bundle $L \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with zero section $s : Y \to L$ one defines the operator $\tilde{c}_1(L) : H_*(Y) \to H_{*-1}(Y)$ by $\tilde{c}_1(L) = s^* s_*$, and calls it the *first Chern class operator of* L.

2.3. **Cohomology theory.**

Let H_* be an ROBM pre-homology theory. For a smooth scheme X of pure dimension n, define

$$H^*(X) = H_{n-*}(X).$$

For an arbitrary smooth scheme we extend this notion by taking the direct sum over pure dimensional parts of X.

The groups $H^*(X)$ are commutative graded rings with unit, with product defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(X) \times H^*(X) &\to H^*(X) \\ (a, b) \qquad &\mapsto \Delta_X^*(a \times b), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_X : X \to X \times X$ is the diagonal map and Δ_X^* is the l.c.i. pull-back. Associativity of the product follows from (A_5) and (A_{11}) applied to two different ways to construct the diagonal $X \to X \times X \times X$ by composing Δ_X.

Let $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the structure morphism and define $1_X = \pi^*(1) \in H^0(X)$, where $1 = 1_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \in H^0(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is the element specified in (D_3). Then 1_X is the multiplicative identity in the ring $H^*(X)$.

Axioms (A_5) and (A_{11}) imply that if $f : X \to Y$ is an l.c.i. morphism between smooth schemes, then $f^* : H^*(Y) \to H^*(X)$ is a homomorphism of graded rings with unit. Thus, we may view H^* as a contravariant functor from the category of smooth schemes and l.c.i. morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of commutative graded rings with unit. In the next section we extend this functor to the whole category $\mathcal{C}$.

3. OPERATIONAL BIVARIANT THEORIES

In this section we consider a refined oriented Borel-Moore pre-homology theory B_* on one of the categories $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_k$ or $\mathcal{C} = G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$, and associate to it a bivariant theory B^* on $\mathcal{C}$. We present a unified treatment of these two cases. Therefore throughout this section we fix one of these two categories and denote it by $\mathcal{C}$, and we assume that all the schemes and morphisms are in $\mathcal{C}$ following the conventions described in 2.2.1. The constructions that we present in this section follow the ideas in [7, Chapter 17] where a bivariant theory A^* is constructed for the Chow theory A_*. Some definitions and proofs have been modified to adapt them to our more general setting.

3.1. **Bivariant theories.** A *bivariant theory* B^* on $\mathcal{C}$ assigns to each morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ a graded abelian group $B^*(X \to Y)$. The groups $B^*(X \to Y)$ are endowed with three operations called product, push-forward and pull-back, which are mutually compatible and admit units:

(P_1) *Product*. For all morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$, and all integers p and q, there is a homomorphism

$$B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes B^q(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \xrightarrow{\cdot} B^{p+q}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z).$$

The image of $c \otimes d$ is denoted $c \cdot d$.

(P_2) *Push-forward*. If $f : X \to Y$ is a projective morphism, $g : Y \to Z$ is any morphism and p is an integer, there is a homomorphism

$$f_* : B^p(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \to B^p(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z).$$

(P_3) *Pull-back*. If $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y' \to Y$ are arbitrary morphisms, $f' : X' = X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$ is the projection and p is an integer, there is a homomorphism

$$g^* : B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to B^p(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y').$$

(U) *Units*. For each X there is an element $1_X \in B^0(X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} X)$, such that $\alpha \cdot 1_X = \alpha$ and $1_X \cdot \beta = \beta$, for all morphisms $W \to X$ and $X \to Y$, and all classes $\alpha \in B^*(W \to X)$ and $\beta \in B^*(X \to Y)$. These unit elements are compatible with pull-backs, i.e., $g^*(1_X) = 1_Z$ for all morphisms $g : Z \to X$.

These operations are required to satisfy the following seven compatibility properties:

(B_1) *Associativity of products*. If $c \in B^*(X \to Y)$, $d \in B^*(Y \to Z)$ and $e \in B^*(Z \to W)$, then

$$(c \cdot d) \cdot e = c \cdot (d \cdot e) \in B^*(X \to W).$$

(B_2) *Functoriality of push-forwards.* If $c \in B^*(X \to Y)$, then $\mathrm{Id}_{X*}c = c \in B^*(X \to Y)$. Moreover, if $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ are projective morphisms, $Z \to W$ is arbitrary, and $d \in B^*(X \to W)$, then

$$(gf)_*d = g_*(f_*d) \in B^*(Z \to W).$$

(B_3) *Functoriality of pull-backs.* If $c \in B^*(X \to Y)$, then $\mathrm{Id}_Y^* c = c \in B^*(X \to Y)$. Moreover, if $f : X \to Y$, $g : Y' \to Y$ and $h : Y'' \to Y'$ are arbitrary morphisms, $X'' = X \times_Y Y'' \to Y''$ is the projection, and $d \in B^*(X \to Y)$, then

$$(gh)^*d = h^*(g^*d) \in B^*(X'' \to Y'').$$

(B_{12}) *Product and push-forward commute.* If $f : X \to Y$ is projective, $Y \to Z$ and $Z \to W$ are arbitrary, and $c \in B^*(X \to Z)$ and $d \in B^*(Z \to W)$, then

$$f_*(c) \cdot d = f_*(c \cdot d) \in B^*(Y \to W).$$

(B_{13}) *Product and pull-back commute.* If $c \in B^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ and $d \in B^*(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$, and $h : Z' \to Z$ is arbitrary, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "h''"] & Y' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Z' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

then

$$(h)^*(c \cdot d) = h'^*(c) \cdot h^*(d) \in B^*(X' \to Z').$$

(B_{23}) *Push-forward and pull-back commute.* If $f : X \to Y$ is projective, $g : Y \to Z$ and $h : Z' \to Z$ are arbitrary morphisms, and $c \in B^*(X \to Z)$, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "h''"] & Y' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Z' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

then

$$h^*(f_*c) = f'_*(h^*c) \in B^*(Y' \to Z').$$

(B_{123}) *Projection formula.* If $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ are arbitrary morphisms, $h' : Y' \to Y$ is projective and $c \in B^*(X \to Y)$ and $d \in B^*(Y' \to Z)$, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "h''"] & Y' \arrow[d, "h'"] & \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

then

$$c \cdot h'_*(d) = h''_*(h'^*(c) \cdot d) \in B^*(X \to Z).$$

The group $B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ may be denoted by simply by $B^p(X \to Y)$ or $B^p(f)$. We will denote by $B^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, $B^*(X \to Y)$ or $B^*(f)$ the direct sum of all $B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, for $p \in \mathbf{Z}$.

3.2. **Homology and Cohomology.** A bivariant theory $B^*(X \to Y)$ contains both a covariant homology theory $B_*(X)$ and a contravariant cohomology theory $B^*(X)$.

The homology is defined by $B_p(X) = B^{-p}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k)$. For any projective morphism $f : X \to Y$, the push-forward in the bivariant theory defines the functorial push-forward map in homology $f_* : B_*(X) \to B_*(Y)$.

The cohomology is defined by $B^p(X) = B^p(\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to X)$. The product operation in the bivariant theory turns $B^*(X)$ into a graded ring with unit 1_X and turns $B_*(X)$ into a graded left module over $B^*(X)$. The product operation $B^*(X) \times B_*(X) \to B_*(X)$ is called cap product and denoted $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \cap \beta$. For any morphism $f : X \to Y$, the pull-back in the bivariant theory defines a functorial pull-back $f^* : B^*(Y) \to B^*(X)$. The pull-back map is a homomorphism of graded rings. When f is a projective morphism, then the projection formula relates the pull-back, push-forward, and cap product as follows:

$$f_*(f^*(\alpha) \cap \beta) = \alpha \cap f_*(\beta).$$

3.3. **Operational bivariant theories.** We now fix an ROBM pre-homology theory B_* on $\mathcal{C}$ and associate a bivariant theory B^* to it.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be any morphism. For each morphism $g : Y' \to Y$, form the fiber square

$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y' \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

with induced morphisms as labeled. An element c in $B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, called a *bivariant class*, is a collection of homomorphisms

$$c_g^{(m)} : B_m Y' \to B_{m-p} X'$$

for all $g : Y' \to Y$, and all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, compatible with projective push-forwards, smooth pull-backs, intersection products and exterior products, i.e.:

(C_1) If $h : Y'' \to Y'$ is projective and $g : Y' \to Y$ is arbitrary, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X'' \arrow[r, "f''"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Y'' \arrow[d, "h"] \\ X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y' \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

then for all $\alpha \in B_m(Y'')$,

$$c_g^{(m)}(h_*\alpha) = h'_* c_{gh}^{(m)}(\alpha)$$

in $B_{m-p}(X')$.

(C_2) If $h : Y'' \to Y'$ is smooth of relative dimension n and $g : Y' \to Y$ is arbitrary, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[r, "f''"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Y'' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y' \arrow[d, "g"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

then for all $\alpha \in B_m(Y')$,

$$c_{gh}^{(m+n)}(h^*\alpha) = h'^* c_g^{(m)}(\alpha)$$

in $B_{m+n-p}(X'')$.

(C_3) If $g : Y' \to Y$ and $h : Y' \to Z'$ are morphisms, and $i : Z'' \to Z'$ is an l.c.i. morphism of codimension e, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[r, "f''"] \arrow[d, "i''"] & Y'' \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d, "i'"] & Z'' \arrow[d, "i"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "g"] & Z' \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

then for all $\alpha \in B_m(Y')$,

$$c_{gi'}^{(m-e)}(i^!\alpha) = i^! c_g^{(m)}(\alpha)$$

in $B_{m-e-p}(X'')$.

(C_4) If $g : Y' \to Y$ is arbitrary, and $h : Y' \times Z \to Y'$ and $h' : X' \times Z \to X'$ are the projections, and one forms the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \times Z \arrow[r, "f''"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Y' \times Z \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y' \arrow[d, "g"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

then for all $\alpha \in B_m(Y')$ and $\beta \in B_l(Z)$,

$$c_{gh}^{(m+l)}(\alpha \times \beta) = c_g^{(m)}(\alpha) \times \beta$$

in $B_{m+l-p}(X' \times Z)$.

The three operations are defined as follows.

Product: Let $c \in B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ and $d \in B^q(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$. Given any morphism $h : Z' \to Z$, form the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "h''"] & Y' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Z' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and for each integer m define $(c \cdot d)_h^{(m)} = c_{h'}^{(m-q)} \circ d_h^{(m)} : B_m Z' \to B_{m-p-q} X'$.

Push-forward: Given $c \in B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ and any morphism $h : Z' \to Z$, form the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "h''"] & Y' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Z' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and for each integer m define $(f_* c)_h^{(m)} = f'_* \circ c_h^{(m)} : B_m Z' \to B_{m-p} Y'$.

Pull-back: Given $c \in B^p(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ and morphisms $g : Y' \to Y$ and $h : Y'' \to Y'$, form the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[r, "f''"] \arrow[d, "h'"] & Y'' \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y' \arrow[d, "g"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and for each integer m define $(g^* c)_h^{(m)} = c_{gh}^{(m)} : B_m Y'' \to B_{m-p} X''$.

It is straightforward to verify that these three operations are well defined (i.e., that $c \cdot d$, $f_* c$ and $g^* c$ satisfy (C_1)-(C_4), so that they define classes in the appropriate bivariant groups). Unit elements $1_X \in B^0(X \to X)$, for each X, satisfying the property (U) are defined by letting them act by identity homomorphisms. It is also straightforward to check that the three operations satisfy properties (B_1)-(B_{123}). In conclusion, the operational theory is a bivariant theory.

The only bivariant theories we will consider are the operational ones. By a bivariant theory we will mean an operational bivariant theory associated to an ROBM pre-homology theory.

3.4. **Homology and Cohomology for Operational Bivariant Theories.** Recall that any bivariant theory $B^*(X \to Y)$ contains a covariant homology theory $B^{-*}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k)$ and a contravariant cohomology theory $B^*(\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to X)$. We claim that if the bivariant theory $B^*(X \to Y)$ is the operational theory associated to an ROBM pre-homology theory B_*, then the homology theory $B^{-*}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k)$ is isomorphic to the original theory $B_*(X)$. Similarly, the cohomology theory $B^*(X \to X)$ agrees with the cohomology theory $B^*(X)$ constructed in § 2.3 for smooth schemes X. The proofs in this section are adapted from the proofs in [7] for the Chow theory.

Proposition 3.1. *For any* X *and each integer* p *the homomorphism*

$$\varphi : B^{-p}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k) \to B_p(X)$$

taking a bivariant class c *to* $c(1)$ *is an isomorphism. Here* $1 = 1_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \in B_0(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ *is the element specified by* (D_3) *in Definition 2.3. The isomorphism* φ *is natural with respect to push-forwards along projective morphisms.*

Proof. Define a homomorphism $\psi : B_p(X) \to B^{-p}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k)$ as follows: Given any $a \in B_p(X)$, any morphism $f : Y \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ and a class $\alpha \in B_m(Y)$, we define $\psi(a)(\alpha) = a \times \alpha \in B_{m+p}(X \times Y)$. It follows at once that $\psi(a)$ satisfies (C_1)-(C_4) and ψ is a well defined homomorphism.

For each $a \in B_p(X)$, one has $\varphi(\psi(a)) = \psi(a)(1) = a \times 1 = a \in B_p(X)$, so $\varphi \circ \psi$ is the identity. Given any $c \in B^{-p}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k)$, any morphism $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ and any class $\alpha \in B_m(Y)$, one has $\psi(\varphi(c))(\alpha) = \psi(c(1))(\alpha) = c(1) \times \alpha = c(1 \times \alpha) = c(\alpha) \in B^{m+p}(X \times Y)$, so $\psi \circ \varphi$ is also the identity.

Naturality of φ with respect to projective push-forwards follows from the definition of push-forward in the operational bivariant theory. □

Let us now consider the cohomology theory $B^*(X \to X)$. Note that an element $c \in B^p(X \to X)$ is a collection of homomorphisms $c_f^{(m)} : B_m X' \to B_{m-p} X'$, for all morphisms $f : X' \to X$ and all integers m, that are compatible with projective push-forwards, smooth pull-backs, exterior and intersection products. Using the previous proposition we identify $B_*(X)$ with $B^{-*}(X \to \operatorname{Spec} k)$ and thus give $B_*(X)$ the structure of a module over $B^*(X \to X)$.

Proposition 3.2 (Poincaré duality)**.** *Let* X *be a smooth purely* n*-dimensional scheme and let* $B^*(X) = B_{n-*}(X)$ *be the cohomology theory defined in* § 2.3*. The homomorphism defined by cap product with* $1_X \in B^0(X)$*:*

$$\varphi : B^*(X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_X} X) \xrightarrow{\cap 1_X} B^*(X)$$

is an isomorphism of graded rings that takes $1_X \in B^0(X \to X)$ *to* $1_X \in B^0(X)$*. The isomorphism* φ *is natural with respect to pull-backs by l.c.i. morphisms.*

Proof. Let us fix an integer p and define a homomorphism $\psi : B^p(X) = B_{n-p}(X) \to B^p(X \to X)$ as follows: Given any $a \in B_{n-p}(X)$, any morphism $f : Y \to X$ and a class $\alpha \in B_m(Y)$, we define $\psi(a)(\alpha) = \psi(a)^{(m)}_f(\alpha) = \gamma_f^*(a \times \alpha) \in B_{m-p}Y$, where $\gamma_f = (f, \mathrm{Id}_Y) : Y \to X \times Y$ is the transpose of the graph of f, which in this case is a regular embedding of codimension n. It is straightforward to check that $\psi(a)$ is a bivariant class and ψ is a group homomorphism.

For each $a \in B_{n-p}X$, one has $\varphi(\psi(a)) = \psi(a)(1_X) = \gamma^*_{\mathrm{Id}_X}(a \times 1_X) = \mathrm{Id}_X^* a = a \in B_{n-p}X$, so $\varphi \circ \psi$ is the identity. Given any $c \in B^p(X \to X)$, any morphism $f : Y \to X$ and any class $\alpha \in B_mY$, one has $\psi(\varphi(c))(\alpha) = \psi(c(1_X))(\alpha) = \gamma_f^*(\, c(1_X) \times \alpha) = \gamma_f^*(c(1_X \times \alpha)) = c(\gamma_f^*(1_X \times \alpha)) = c(\alpha) \in B_{m-p}Y$, so $\psi \circ \varphi$ is also the identity.

To verify the compatibility with multiplication, we show that for arbitrary classes $a \in B_{n-p}(X)$ and $b \in B_{n-q}(X)$ we have $\psi(a \cdot b) = \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \in B^{p+q}(X \to X)$. Indeed, given any morphism $f : Y \to X$ and any class $\theta \in B_mY$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(a \cdot b)(\theta) &= \gamma_f^*(\gamma^*_{\mathrm{Id}_X}(a \times b) \times \theta) = (f, f, \mathrm{Id}_Y)^*(a \times b \times \theta)\\ &= \gamma_f^*((\mathrm{Id}_X, \gamma_f)^*(a \times b \times \theta)) = \gamma_f^*(a \times \gamma_f^*(b \times \theta))\\ &= \psi(a)(\psi(b)(\theta)) = (\psi(a) \cdot \psi(b))(\theta) \in B_{m-p-q}Y.\end{aligned}$$

The element $1_X \in B^0(X \to X)$ acts as multiplicative identity, hence cap product with it maps $1_X \in B^0(X)$ to itself.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be an l.c.i. morphism between pure dimensional smooth schemes. Naturality of φ with respect to pull-back by f is equivalent to the identity

$$f^*(c) \cap 1_X = f^*(c \cap 1_Y)$$

for any $c \in B^*(Y \to Y)$, which holds by (C_3) since $1_X = f^*(1_Y)$. □

Notation 3.3. We will denote $B^*(X) = B^*(\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to X)$ for an arbitrary scheme X in $\mathcal{C}$. Proposition 3.2 shows that this contravariant functor on $\mathcal{C}$, when restricted to the category of smooth schemes and l.c.i. morphisms, is isomorphic to the functor B^* defined in § 2.3.

Remark 3.4. In his construction of a bivariant theory associated to Chow theory in [7, Chapter 17], Fulton uses the Chow theory versions of our axioms (C_1), (C_2) and (C_3), namely, compatibility of the bivariant classes with proper push-forwards, flat pull-backs and refined Gysin homomorphisms; but there is no explicit requirement of our axiom (C_4), compatibility with exterior products. This axiom (C_4) does not appear explicitly in Fulton's construction because in the case of Chow theory A_* axioms (C_1) and (C_2) imply axiom (C_4). More generally, this is true for any ROBM pre-homology theory B_* that satisfies that for every X in $\mathcal{C}$ the group $B_*(X)$ is generated by the projective push-forward images of the classes 1_Y for all smooth varieties Y with a projective map to X. Indeed, using (C_1) one reduces (C_4) to the case where both Y' and Z are smooth varieties, and $\alpha = 1_{Y'}$ and $\beta = 1_Z$. In that case, from (C_2) one obtains that $c^{(m+l)}_{gh}(1_{Y'} \times 1_Z) = c^{(m+l)}_{gh}(1_{Y' \times Z}) = c^{(m+l)}_{gh}(h^*1_{Y'}) = h'^*c^{(m)}_g(1_{Y'}) = c^{(m)}_g(1_{Y'}) \times 1_Z$, and then (C_4) holds in general for B_*.

4. THE EQUIVARIANT VERSION OF AN ROBM PRE-HOMOLOGY THEORY

In this section we fix an ROBM pre-homology theory B_* on the category Sch_k and construct its *equivariant version* B^G_*, which is an ROBM pre-homology theory on G-Sch_k. The construction of B^G_* generalizes to arbitrary ROBM pre-homology theories similar constructions in Chow theory by Totaro [19] and Edidin-Graham [5], and in algebraic cobordism by Krishna [13] and Heller-Malagón-López [11].

We will need to assume throughout this section that the field k is infinite and the theory B_* satisfies the homotopy property (H) and the localization property (L):

(H) Let $p : E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank r over X in Sch_k. Then $p^* : B_*(X) \to B_{*+r}(E)$ is an isomorphism.

(L) For any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ with open complement $j : U = X \smallsetminus Z \to X$ the following sequence is exact:

$$B_*(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} B_*(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} B_*(U) \to 0.$$

4.1. **Algebraic Groups, Quotients and Good Systems of Representations.** Let G be a linear algebraic group. If X is a scheme with a G-action $\sigma : G \times X \to X$ and the geometric quotient of X by the action of G exists it will be denoted by $X \to X/G$. When the geometric quotient $\pi : X \to X/G$ exists, it is called a *principal G-bundle* if the morphism π is faithfully flat and the morphism $\psi = (\sigma, \mathrm{pr}_X) : G \times X \to X \times_{X/G} X$ is an isomorphism. By [17, Proposition 0.9], if G acts freely on $U \in G$-Sch_k and the geometric quotient $\pi : U \to U/G$ exists in Sch_k, for some quasiprojective scheme U/G, then $\pi : U \to U/G$ is a principal G-bundle. Moreover, by [17, Proposition 7.1], for any $X \in G$-Sch_k the geometric quotient $\pi' : X \times U \to (X \times U)/G$ also exists in Sch_k, it is a principal G-bundle and $(X \times U)/G$ is quasiprojective. In this case we denote the scheme $(X \times U)/G$ by $X \times^G U$.

Definition 4.1. We say that $\{(V_i, U_i)\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^+}$ is a *good system of representations* of G if each V_i is a G-representation, $U_i \subseteq V_i$ is a G-invariant open subset and they satisfy the following conditions:

1. G acts freely on U_i and U_i/G exists as a quasiprojective scheme in Sch_k.
2. For each i there is a G-representation W_i so that $V_{i+1} = V_i \oplus W_i$.
3. $U_i \oplus \{0\} \subseteq U_{i+1}$ and the inclusion factors as $U_i = U_i \oplus \{0\} \subseteq U_i \oplus W_i \subseteq U_{i+1}$.
4. $\mathrm{codim}_{V_i}(V_i \smallsetminus U_i) < \mathrm{codim}_{V_j}(V_j \smallsetminus U_j)$, for $i < j$.

For any algebraic group G there exist good systems of representations (see [19, Remark 1.4]). The following lemma lists some basic facts regarding the properties of morphisms induced on geometric quotients.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a G-equivariant morphism in G-Sch_k and let $\{(V_i, U_i)\}$ be a good system of representations of G.*

1. *For each* i *the quotient* $X \times^G U_i = (X \times U_i)/G$ *exists in* Sch_k *and it is quasiprojective. The induced morphisms* $\phi_{ij} : X \times^G U_i \to X \times^G U_j$ *are l.c.i. morphisms for* $j \geq i$*. If* X *is smooth then* $X \times^G U_i$ *is also smooth.*
2. *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a property of morphisms in the following list: open immersion, closed immersion, regular embedding, projective, smooth, l.c.i. If* $f : X \to Y$ *satisfies the property* $\mathbf{P}$ *in the category* $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ *then the induced maps* $f_i : X \times^G U_i \to Y \times^G U_i$ *satisfy property* $\mathbf{P}$ *in the category* Sch_k*.*
3. *For any morphisms* $g : Y \to X$ *and* $f : Z \to X$ *in* $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ *and any indices* $j \geq i$*, the square diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \times U_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \times U_j \arrow[d] \\
Z \times U_i \arrow[r] & X \times U_j,
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
W \times^G U_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \times^G U_j \arrow[d, "g'"] \\
Z \times^G U_i \arrow[r, "f'"] & X \times^G U_j,
\end{tikzcd}$$

induced by the Cartesian product $W = Y \times_X Z$ *are fiber squares, and furthermore they are Tor-independent if* f *and* g *are Tor-independent.*

Proof. For proofs of the assertions in (1) and (2) see [5, Proposition 2] and [11, 2.2.2, Lemma 9]). For (3), given any $T \in \mathrm{Sch}_k$ and morphisms $g'' : T \to Y \times^G U_j$ and $f'' : T \to Z \times^G U_i$ such that $f' \circ f'' = g' \circ g''$, we let G act on $\tilde{T} = T \times_{(X \times^G U_j)} (X \times U_j)$ via the morphism $G \times \tilde{T} \to \tilde{T}$ induced by the product of the trivial action of G on T and the action of G on $X \times U_j$. By [17, Amplification 7.1], G-equivariant morphisms from $\tilde{T}$ to each of $X \times U_j$, $Y \times U_j$, $Z \times U_i$ and $W \times U_i$ correspond to the morphisms induced on the quotients from T to $X \times^G U_j$, $Y \times^G U_j$, $Z \times^G U_i$ and $W \times^G U_i$, respectively. The assertion that the squares in (3) are Cartesian follows easily from these observations. If f and g are Tor-independent, then $\mathrm{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for all $m > 0$, and clearly $\mathrm{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{U_j}}(\mathcal{O}_{U_j}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$. Hence, by applying locally the spectral sequence associated to the double complex obtained as the tensor product of two complexes, we obtain that $\mathrm{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times U_j}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y \times U_j}, \mathcal{O}_{Z \times U_i}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$. Since $Y \times U_j = (Y \times^G U_j) \times_{(X \times^G U_j)} (X \times U_j)$ and $Z \times U_i = (Z \times^G U_i) \times_{(X \times^G U_j)} (X \times U_j)$, by faithfully flat base change for Tor_m, we have that $\mathrm{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times^G U_j}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y \times^G U_j}, \mathcal{O}_{Z \times^G U_i}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$. Therefore, in this case the given squares are also Tor-independent. $\square$

4.2. **Construction of** $B_*^G(X)$**.** Fix a good system of representations $\{(V_i, U_i)\}$ of G. For any scheme $X \in G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ define $B_*^G(X) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} B_n^G(X)$, where

$$B_n^G(X) = \varprojlim_i B_{n + \dim U_i - \dim G}(X \times^G U_i).$$

To simplify notation, we will often write

$$B_*^G(X) = \varprojlim_i B_*(X \times^G U_i),$$

where the limit is taken in each degree separately. Equivalently, the limit is taken in the category of graded abelian groups, with $B_*(X \times^G U_i)$ having grading shifted so that the maps in the inverse system are homogeneous of degree zero.

To see that B^G_* is independent of the choice of a good system of representations, one can formally follow the argument presented in the case of algebraic cobordism in [11, Proposition 15 and Theorem 16], which we outline below for the reader's convenience. The proof of the next proposition requires the field k to be infinite.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that the ROBM pre-homology theory B_* satisfies the properties (H) and (L). Let $\pi : E \to X$ be a vector bundle over a scheme X of rank r. Let $U \subseteq E$ be an open subscheme with closed complement $S = E \setminus U$.*

1. *If X is affine and $\operatorname{codim}_E S > \dim X$ then $\pi|_U^* : B_m(X) \to B_{m+r}(U)$ is an isomorphism for all m.*
2. *For X arbitrary, there is as integer $n(X)$ depending only on X, such that $\pi|_U^* : B_m(X) \to B_{m+r}(U)$ is an isomorphism for all m whenever $\operatorname{codim}_E S > n(X)$.*

Proof. The case when B_* is algebraic cobordism is [11, Proposition 15]. The proof given in [11] only uses the formal properties of algebraic cobordism as an ROBM pre-homology theory satisfying (H) and (L), so it translates formally to the present setting. □

Proposition 4.4. *For any $X \in G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$, $B^G_*(X)$ is independent of the choice of a good system of representations of G up to canonical isomorphism.*

Proof. We use Bogomolov's double filtration argument. Let $\{(V_i, U_i)\}$ and $\{(V'_i, U'_i)\}$ be good systems of representations of G. For a fixed index i, since G acts freely on U_i, it also acts freely on $U_i \times V'_j$ and $U_i \times U'_j$ for all j. Hence $X \times^G (U_i \times V'_j) \to X \times^G U_i$ is a vector bundle. By Proposition 4.3 (2), there is an integer N_i such that the l.c.i. pull-backs induce canonical isomorphisms

$$B_*(X \times^G U_i) \cong B_*(X \times^G (U_i \times U'_j))$$

for each $j \geq N_i$. Therefore, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_i B_*(X \times^G U_i) = \varprojlim_i \varprojlim_j B_*(X \times^G (U_i \times U'_j)). \tag{4.1}$$

Similarly, exchanging the role of the good systems of representations we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_j B_*(X \times^G U'_j) = \varprojlim_j \varprojlim_i B_*(X \times^G (U_i \times U'_j)). \tag{4.2}$$

To get the conclusion we only need to observe that the right sides of (4.1) are (4.2) canonically isomorphic to the inverse limit of the system $\{B_*(X \times^G (U_i \times U'_j))\}_{i,j}$, where the maps

$$B_*(X \times^G (U_i \times U'_j)) \to B_*(X \times^G (U_{i'} \times U'_{j'}))$$

are the corresponding l.c.i. pull-backs for all $i \geq i'$ and $j \geq j'$. □

4.3. **The Induced ROBM pre-Homology Theory Structure on B_*^G.** We now show that the ROBM pre-homology structure of the theory B_* induces an ROBM pre-homology structure on B_*^G. We use functoriality of the inverse limit to construct projective push forwards, smooth pull-backs, exterior and intersection products on B_*^G. To define a homomorphism between two inverse limits, we construct a map between the two inverse systems.

Fix a good system of representations $\{(V_i, U_i)\}$ of G. Given any projective G-equivariant morphism $f : X \to Y$, the morphisms $\{f_i : X \times^G U_i \to Y \times^G U_i\}$ are projective. The fiber square on the left in (4.3) is Tor-independent for any $j \geq i$,

(4.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \times^G U_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \times^G U_i \arrow[d] \\ X \times^G U_j \arrow[r] & Y \times^G U_j \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} B_*(X \times^G U_i) \arrow[r, "f_{i*}"] & B_*(Y \times^G U_i) \\ B_*(X \times^G U_j) \arrow[r, "f_{j*}"] \arrow[u] & B_*(Y \times^G U_j) \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

hence the square on the right in (4.3) is commutative for any $j \geq i$. Hence, the maps f_{i*} induce a homomorphism between the limits $f_* : B_*^G(X) \to B_*^G(Y)$.

Smooth pull-backs are defined in a similar way. For intersection products, given a G-equivariant l.c.i morphism $f : Z \to X$ of codimension d and any G-equivariant morphism $g : Y \to X$, with $W = Z \times_X Y$, first we apply the operation $\times^G U_i$ to the whole intersection product diagram. The result is again an intersection product diagram. The two leftmost fiber squares in (4.4) are Tor-independent for any $j \geq i$,

(4.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd} Z \times^G U_i \arrow[r, "f_i"] \arrow[d] & X \times^G U_i \arrow[d] \\ Z \times^G U_j \arrow[r, "f_j"] & X \times^G U_j \end{tikzcd} \quad \begin{tikzcd} W \times^G U_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \times^G U_i \arrow[d] \\ W \times^G U_j \arrow[r] & Y \times^G U_j \end{tikzcd} \quad \begin{tikzcd} B_{*-d}(W \times^G U_i) & B_*(Y \times^G U_i) \arrow[l, "f_i^!"'] \\ B_{*-d}(W \times^G U_j) \arrow[u] & B_*(Y \times^G U_j) \arrow[l, "f_j^!"'] \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

hence the square on the right in (4.4) is commutative for any $j \geq i$. Hence, the maps $f_i^!$ induce a homomorphism between the limits $f^! : B_*^G(Y) \to B_{*-d}^G(W)$.

To define the exterior product, note that the morphisms

$$\phi_i : (X \times Y) \times^G (U_i \times U_i) \to (X \times^G U_i) \times (Y \times^G U_i)$$

are smooth (see [2, Theorem 6.8]). We compose the associated smooth pull-back and the exterior product of B_* to get

$$\times_i : B_*(X \times^G U_i) \times B_*(Y \times^G U_i) \to B_*((X \times^G U_i) \times (Y \times^G U_i)) \to B_*((X \times Y) \times^G (U_i \times U_i)).$$

The morphisms $\times_i$ are compatible with maps in the inverse systems, hence they define the exterior product map between limits:

$$\times : B^G_* X \times B^G_* Y \to B^G_*(X \times Y).$$

The elements $1_{U_i/G} \in B_*(\operatorname{Spec} k \times^G U_i)$ define $1 \in B^G_*(X)$.

It remains to prove that the theory B^G_* with the operations defined above satisfies the axioms of an ROBM pre-homology theory. Each axiom amounts to a statement about the commutativity of a diagram of homomorphisms. One can check that in each case the commutativity holds at each level i, hence it also holds in the limit. Using the double filtration argument as before, one can also check that these projective push-forwards, smooth pull-backs, exterior and intersection products are independent of the good system of representations.

The conclusions of this section can then be summarized as:

Theorem 4.5. *The functor* B^G_* *with the projective push-forwards, smooth pull-backs, exterior and intersection products constructed above is a refined oriented Borel-Moore pre-homology theory on the category* G-Sch$_k$. *We call* B^G_* *the* equivariant version *of* B_*.

4.4. **Operational Equivariant Theory.**

For a given ROBM pre-homology theory B_* on Sch$_k$ we constructed an associated equivariant version B^G_* as an ROBM pre-homology theory on G-Sch$_k$. The construction of § 3 applied to B_* and to B^G_* produces respectively operational bivariant theories B^* on Sch$_k$ and $(B^G)^*$ on G-Sch$_k$. We denote $(B^G)^*$ by B^*_G and call it the *operational equivariant version* of B_*

One can switch the order of the two steps in the construction of B^*_G and define an "*equivariant operational*" theory

$$\tilde{B}^*_G(X) = \varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i).$$

The two theories $B^*_G(X)$ and $\tilde{B}^*_G(X)$ turn out to be isomorphic if we assume that B_* satisfies the descent property (D) described in the next section. This property was first proved by Gillet [9] in the case of Chow groups and K-theory. It has several other consequences for ROBM pre-homology theories that are studied in the next section.

5. DESCENT SEQUENCES

We assume in this section that the field k has characteristic zero, or more generally, we assume that every scheme X in Sch$_k$ or in G-Sch$_k$ has a smooth projective (equivariant) envelope $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ as defined in 5.1. We fix an ROBM pre-homology theory B_* on one of the categories Sch$_k$ or G-Sch$_k$ and consider the following property (D):

(D) For any envelope $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$, with π projective, the following sequence is exact

$$B_*(\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{p_{1*}-p_{2*}} B_*(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} B_*(X) \to 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where $p_i : \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ is the projection on the i^{th} factor, for $i = 1, 2$.

5.1. Envelopes.

An *envelope* of a scheme X in Sch_k is a proper morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ such that for every subvariety V of X there is a subvariety $\tilde{V}$ of $\tilde{X}$ that is mapped birationally onto V by π. If G is an algebraic group, a G-*equivariant envelope* of a scheme X in $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ is a proper G-equivariant morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ such that for every G-invariant subvariety V of X there is a G-invariant subvariety $\tilde{V}$ of $\tilde{X}$ that is mapped birationally onto V by π.

In the following, an envelope in the category Sch_k means an ordinary envelope and an envelope in the category $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ means a G-equivariant envelope. If $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is an envelope, we say that it is a *smooth envelope* if $\tilde{X}$ is smooth, and we say that it is a *projective envelope* if π is a projective morphism. Likewise, we say that the envelope $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is *birational* if for some dense open subset U of X, π induces an isomorphism $\pi| : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U$. The composition of envelopes is again an envelope and the fiber product of an envelope by any morphism is again an envelope.

The domain $\tilde{X}$ of an envelope is not required to be connected, hence if we assume that varieties over k admit (equivariant) resolutions of singularities via a projective morphism, then by induction on the dimension it follows easily that for every scheme X in Sch_k (respectively in $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$) there exists a smooth projective birational (equivariant) envelope $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$.

Notice that if $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is an envelope in $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ and if $\tilde{U} \in G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ has a free G-action such that $\tilde{U}/G$ exists as a quasiprojective scheme in Sch_k, then the induced morphism $\pi_G : \tilde{X} \times^G \tilde{U} \to X \times^G \tilde{U}$ is an envelope in Sch_k. Furthermore if π is either a smooth, projective or birational envelope, then π_G is a smooth, projective or birational envelope, respectively. Moreover, if $\pi| : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U$ is an isomorphism for some G-invariant open subset U of X and $\{Z_i\}$ are G-invariant closed subschemes of X such that $X \smallsetminus U = \cup Z_i$, then π_G maps the open subset $\pi_G^{-1}(U \times^G \tilde{U}) = \pi^{-1}(U) \times^G \tilde{U}$ of $\tilde{X} \times^G \tilde{U}$ isomorphically onto the open subset $U \times^G \tilde{U}$ of $X \times^G \tilde{U}$, and the closed subschemes $\{Z_i \times^G \tilde{U}\}$ of $X \times^G \tilde{U}$ satisfy that $(X \smallsetminus U) \times^G \tilde{U} = (X \times^G \tilde{U}) \smallsetminus (U \times^G \tilde{U}) = \cup(Z_i \times^G \tilde{U})$.

5.2. Operational Equivariant vs. Equivariant Operational.

Assume now that the theory B_* on Sch_k satisfies properties (H) and (L), and we can thus define the operational equivariant theory B_G^* as well as the "equivariant operational" theory $\tilde{B}_G^*$ as in § 4.4. We show that if B_* also satisfies property (D), then these two bivariant theories are isomorphic. For simplicity, we prove this isomorphism only for the bivariant cohomology theory $B_G^*(X)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let B_* be an ROBM pre-homology theory on Sch_k that satisfies (H), (L) and (D). Then, for any scheme $X \in G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$ and any projective envelope $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ the push-forward homomorphism $\pi_* : B_*^G(\tilde{X}) \to B_*^G(X)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Recall that the inverse limit $\varprojlim$ is a left exact functor from the category of inverse systems of (graded) abelian groups. Given a short exact sequence of inverse systems

$$0 \to (E_i) \to (F_i) \to (G_i) \to 0,$$

the sequence of limits

$$0 \to \varprojlim E_i \to \varprojlim F_i \to \varprojlim G_i \to 0$$

is exact if the system (E_i) satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. This condition is satisfied for example if the maps $E_i \to E_j$ for $i > j$ in the inverse system are all surjective.

Given an exact sequence

$$(E_i) \to (F_i) \to (G_i) \to 0,$$

one may replace the system (E_i) with its image (I_i) in (F_i) to get a short exact sequence. If all maps in the inverse system (E_i) are surjective, then they are also surjective in (I_i), and it follows that the map of limits $\varprojlim F_i \to \varprojlim G_i$ is surjective.

We apply the previous discussion to the sequence of inverse systems:

$$B_*((\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}) \times^G U_i) \to B_*(\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \to B_*(X \times^G U_i) \to 0.$$

This sequence is exact by property (D): the map $\tilde{X} \times^G U_i \to X \times^G U_i$ is an envelope and

$$(\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}) \times^G U_i \cong (\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \times_{X \times^G U_i} (\tilde{X} \times^G U_i).$$

For any scheme Y in G-Sch_k, the l.c.i. pull-backs

$$B_*(Y \times^G U_i) \to B_*(Y \times^G U_j)$$

are surjective for all $i > j$. This follows from properties (H) and (L) because the inclusion $Y \times^G U_j \to Y \times^G U_i$ can be factored as the inclusion of the zero section of a vector bundle followed by an open immersion. Applying this to the case $Y = \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$ gives the statement of the lemma. □

Proposition 5.2. *If the ROBM pre-homology theory* B_* *on* Sch_k *satisfies properties* (H), (L) *and* (D), *then for any* X *in* G-Sch_k *there exists an isomorphism*

$$B^*_G(X) \cong \varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i).$$

The isomorphism is natural with respect to pull-back by any morphism $f : X' \to X$ *in* G-Sch_k.

Proof. Given X in G-Sch_k we define a homomorphism

$$\varphi_X : \varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i) \to B^*_G(X)$$

as follows: Given $c = (c_i) \in \varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i)$, where $c_i \in B^*(X \times^G U_i)$ for each i, and given any G-equivariant morphism $f : Y \to X$ and a class $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in B^G_*(Y) = \varprojlim_i B_*(Y \times^G U_i)$, where $\alpha_i \in B_*(Y \times^G U_i)$ for each i, one defines $\varphi_X(c)(\alpha) = (c_i(\alpha_i)) \in \varprojlim_i B_*(Y \times^G U_i) =$

$B^G_*(Y)$. It is straightforward to verify that $\varphi_X(c)$ is well defined and is indeed a bivariant class in $B^G_*(X)$, so that φ_X is a well defined homomorphism.

It is clear from the definitions that φ_X is natural with respect to pull-backs.

We prove that φ_X is an isomorphism. First, we consider the case when X is smooth. In this case, each $X \times^G U_i$ is smooth as well. By Poincaré duality (Proposition 3.2) we have isomorphisms $B^*_G(X) \xrightarrow{\cap 1_X} B^G_*(X)$ and $B^*(X \times^G U_i) \xrightarrow{\cap 1_{X \times^G U_i}} B_*(X \times^G U_i)$ for each i. Passing to the component-wise inverse limit, and composing appropriately, one obtains the isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i) \arrow[r, "(\cap 1_{X \times^G U_i})_i"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\varphi_X"'] & \varprojlim_i B_*(X \times^G U_i) = B^G_*(X) \arrow[r, "(\cap 1_X)^{-1}"] & B^*_G(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

which can be verified directly to be φ_X.

For the general case, given X we choose a G-equivariant envelope $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ so that π is projective and $\tilde{X}$ is smooth. Let $\pi_i : \tilde{X} \times^G U_i \to X \times^G U_i$ be the induced morphisms. We get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i) \arrow[r, hook, "(\pi_i^*)"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_X"] & \varprojlim_i B^*(\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \arrow[d, "\varphi_{\tilde{X}}", "\wr"'] \\
B^*_G(X) \arrow[r, hook, "\pi^*"] & B^*_G(\tilde{X})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that π^* and (π_i^*) are injective. To see this, assume that $\pi^* c = 0$ for some $c \in B^*_G(X)$. Given a G-equivariant map $f : Y \to X$ and a class $\alpha \in B^G_*(Y)$, form the fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{Y} \arrow[r, "\pi'"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

with morphisms as indicated. Since π' is an envelope, by Lemma 5.1 there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in B^G_*(\tilde{Y})$ such that $\pi'_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. We have $c(\alpha) = c(\pi'_*(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi'_*(c(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi'_*((\pi^* c)(\tilde{\alpha})) = 0$, and it follows that π^* is injective. Since each π_i is also an envelope, the same argument proves that the π_i^* are injective, and then so is the component-wise inverse limit homomorphism (π_i^*). In particular, it follows that φ_X is injective, as $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}$ is an isomorphism by the smooth case.

To prove the surjectivity of φ_X, we consider $c \in B^*_G(X)$, and construct an element mapping to $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}^{-1}(\pi^* c)$ by (π_i^*). Let $p_j : \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ and $p'_j : (\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \times_{X \times^G U_i} (\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \to \tilde{X} \times^G U_i$ be the projections on the corresponding j^{th} factor, for $j = 1, 2$. Let $(\tilde{c}_i) \in \varprojlim_i B^*(\tilde{X} \times^G U_i)$ be the image of c under $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}^{-1} \circ \pi^*$, where each $\tilde{c}_i \in B^*(\tilde{X} \times^G U_i)$. Now, using that $\varphi_{\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}}$ is injective and that $(p_1^* - p_2^*)(\pi^* c) = 0$, it follows that $(p_1'^* - p_2'^*)(\tilde{c}_i) = 0$ for each i. We define a class $(c_i) \in \varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i)$ as follows: Given a morphism $f : Y \to X \times^G U_i$ and a class $\alpha \in B_*(Y)$, form the fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{Y} \arrow[r, "\pi'_i"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\tilde{X} \times^G U_i \arrow[r, "\pi_i"] & X \times^G U_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

with morphisms as indicated, and define $c_i(\alpha) = \pi'_{i*}(\tilde{c}_i(\tilde{\alpha}))$, where $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_*(\tilde{Y})$ is any class satisfying $\pi'_{i*}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$ (which exists since π'_i is an envelope). To see that $c_i(\alpha)$ is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\alpha}$, it is enough to see that $\pi'_{i*}(\tilde{c}_i(\beta)) = 0$ for each class $\beta \in B_*(\tilde{Y})$ such that $\pi'_{i*}\beta = 0$. By property (D), given such class β there exists a class $\gamma \in B_*(\tilde{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y})$ such that $\beta = g_{1*}(\gamma) - g_{2*}(\gamma)$, where $g_j : \tilde{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y} \to \tilde{Y}$ are the projections, for $j = 1, 2$. Since $\pi'_i \circ g_1 = \pi'_i \circ g_2$, it follows that $\pi'_{i*}(\tilde{c}_i(\beta)) = \pi'_{i*}(\tilde{c}_i(g_{1*}(\gamma) - g_{2*}(\gamma))) = ((\pi'_i \circ g_1)_* - (\pi'_i \circ g_2)_*)(\tilde{c}_i(\gamma)) = 0$, and then c_i is well defined. It is straightforward to verify that each c_i satisfies the conditions (C_1)-(C_4), so they define bivariant classes $c_i \in B^*(X \times^G U_i)$. Moreover, it is clear that the classes c_i agree under the bivariant pullbacks $B^*(X \times^G U_j) \to B^*(X \times^G U_i)$ for each $j \geq i$, so they define a class $(c_i) \in \varprojlim_i B^*(X \times^G U_i)$. To prove that φ_X is surjective, it is enough to see that $\pi_i^* c_i = \tilde{c}_i$ for each i. For this, let $f : Y \to \tilde{X} \times^G U_i$ be any morphism and form the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{Y} \arrow[r, "\pi'_i"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
(\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \times_{X \times^G U_i} (\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \arrow[r, "p'_2"] \arrow[d, "p'_1"] & \tilde{X} \times^G U_i \arrow[d, "\pi_i"] \\
\tilde{X} \times^G U_i \arrow[r, "\pi_i"] & X \times^G U_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

with morphisms as indicated. Consider a class $\alpha \in B_*(Y)$ and any class $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_*(\tilde{Y})$ such that $\pi'_{i*}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Since $p_1'^*(\tilde{c}_i) = p_2'^*(\tilde{c}_i)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_i^* c_i)_f(\alpha) &= \pi'_{i*}((\tilde{c}_i)_{p'_1 \circ f'}(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi'_{i*}((f'^* p_1'^* \tilde{c}_i)(\tilde{\alpha})) \\
&= \pi'_{i*}((f'^* p_2'^* \tilde{c}_i)(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi'_{i*}((\tilde{c}_i)_{f \circ \pi'_i}(\tilde{\alpha})) = (\tilde{c}_i)_f(\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_i^* c_i = \tilde{c}_i$ for each i, and the proof is complete. □

5.3. **Kimura Type Descent Sequence for Bivariant Theories and Inductive Computation of Bivariant Groups.** Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6 below were proved by Kimura [12] in the case of Chow theory A_*. We generalize his proofs to arbitrary ROBM prehomology theories.

Theorem 5.3. *Let* B_* *be an ROBM pre-homology theory on* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_k$ *or* $\mathcal{C} = \text{G-Sch}_k$ *that satisfies property* (D). *Let* $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ *be a projective envelope in* $\mathcal{C}$, $Y \to X$ *be a morphism in* $\mathcal{C}$, *and* $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X} \times_X Y$. *Then the following sequence is exact*

$$0 \to B^*(Y \to X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} B^*(\tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{p_1^* - p_2^*} B^*(\tilde{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}). \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Assume that $\pi^* c = 0$ for some $c \in B^*(Y \to X)$. Given a morphism $f : X' \to X$ and a class $\alpha \in B_*(X')$, form the fiber diagram on the left in (5.3)

(5.3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \tilde{Y}' \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl, "\pi''"'] \arrow[dd] & & \tilde{X}' \arrow[dl, "\pi'"] \arrow[dd] \\
Y' \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & X' \arrow[dd] & \\
& \tilde{Y} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & & \tilde{X} \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
Y \arrow[rr] & & X &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
& \tilde{Y}' \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl, "\pi''"'] \arrow[dd] & & \tilde{X}' \arrow[dl, "\pi'"] \arrow[dd, "f'"] \\
Y' \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & X' \arrow[dd, "f"] & \\
& \tilde{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd] & & \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X} \arrow[dl, "p_2"] \arrow[dd, "p_1"] \\
\tilde{Y} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & \tilde{X} \arrow[dd, "\pi"] & \\
& \tilde{Y} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & & \tilde{X} \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
Y \arrow[rr] & & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with morphisms labeled as indicated. Since π' is an envelope, there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_*(\tilde{X}')$ such that $\pi'_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. We have $c(\alpha) = c(\pi'_*(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi''_*(c(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi''_*((\pi^* c)(\tilde{\alpha})) = 0$, and it follows that π^* is injective. By the functoriality of pull-backs it follows that $(p_1^* - p_2^*) \circ \pi^* = 0$.

Now, let $\tilde{c} \in B^*(\tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X})$ be a bivariant class such that $(p_1^* - p_2^*)(\tilde{c}) = 0$. We define a class $c \in B^*(Y \to X)$ as follows: Given a morphism $f : X' \to X$ and a class $\alpha \in B_*(X')$, form once again the fiber diagram on the left in (5.3), with morphisms as indicated, and define $c(\alpha) = \pi''_*(\tilde{c}(\tilde{\alpha}))$, where $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_*(\tilde{X}')$ is any class satisfying $\pi'_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$ (which exists since π' is an envelope). To see that $c(\alpha)$ is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\alpha}$, it is enough to see that $\pi''_*(\tilde{c}(\beta)) = 0$ for each class $\beta \in B_*(\tilde{X}')$ such that $\pi'_* \beta = 0$. Let $g_j : \tilde{X}' \times_{X'} \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{X}'$ and $g'_j : \tilde{Y}' \times_{Y'} \tilde{Y}' \to \tilde{Y}'$ be the projections, for $j = 1, 2$. By property (D), given such class β there exists a class $\gamma \in B_*(\tilde{X}' \times_{X'} \tilde{X}')$ such that $\beta = g_{1*}(\gamma) - g_{2*}(\gamma)$. Since $\pi'' \circ g'_1 = \pi'' \circ g'_2$, it follows that $\pi''_*(\tilde{c}(\beta)) = \pi''_*(\tilde{c}(g_{1*}(\gamma) - g_{2*}(\gamma))) = ((\pi'' \circ g'_1)_* - (\pi'' \circ g'_2)_*)(\tilde{c}(\gamma)) = 0$, and then c is well defined. It is straightforward to verify that c satisfies the conditions (C_1)-(C_4), so this construction yields a bivariant class $c \in B_*(Y \to X)$. To finish the proof we show that $\pi^* c = \tilde{c}$. For this, let $f : X' \to \tilde{X}$ be any morphism and consider a class $\alpha \in B_*(X')$ and any class $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_*(\tilde{X}')$ such that $\pi'_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Form the fiber diagram on the right in (5.3) with morphisms as indicated. Since $p_1^*(\tilde{c}) = p_2^*(\tilde{c})$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi^* c)_f(\alpha) &= \pi''_*(\tilde{c}_{p_1 \circ f'}(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi''_*((f'^* p_1^* \tilde{c})(\tilde{\alpha})) \\ &= \pi''_*((f'^* p_2^* \tilde{c})(\tilde{\alpha})) = \pi''_*(\tilde{c}_{f \circ \pi'}(\tilde{\alpha})) = \tilde{c}_f(\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi^* c = \tilde{c}$, and the proof is complete. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let* B_* *be an ROBM pre-homology theory on* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_k$ *or* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{G\text{-}Sch}_k$ *that satisfies property* (D)*. Then for any projective envelope* $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ *in* $\mathcal{C}$ *the following sequence is exact*

$$0 \to B^*(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} B^*(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{p_1^* - p_2^*} B^*(\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}).$$

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the localization property (L) or of the property (D).

Lemma 5.5. *Let* B_* *be an ROBM pre-homology theory on* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_k$ *or* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{G\text{-}Sch}_k$ *that satisfies either property* (L) *or property* (D)*. If* $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ *where each* $f_i : Z_i \to X$ *is a closed subscheme of* X *then*

$$B_*(X) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r f_{i*}(B_*(Z_i))$$

Proof. The general case follows at once from the case $r = 2$, so we assume that $X = Z_1 \cup Z_2$. If B_* satisfies (L), we have that the following sequence is exact

$$B_*(Z_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} B_*(X) \xrightarrow{f_2|^*} B_*(X \setminus Z_1) \to 0.$$

By the compatibility of pull-backs and push-forwards and using localization it is clear that $f_2|^*$ maps $f_{2*}(B_*(Z_2))$ onto $B_*(X \setminus Z_1)$. It is clear now that $B_*(X) = f_{1*}(B_*(Z_1)) + f_{2*}(B_*(Z_2))$ as desired. If B_* satisfies (D), the result follows since B_* is additive and the projective morphism from the disjoint union $Z_1 \coprod Z_2 \to X$ induced by the inclusions is an envelope. □

The following result can be proved as a corollary of Theorem 5.3. It gives an inductive method for computing bivariant groups.

Theorem 5.6. *Let* B_* *be an ROBM pre-homology theory on* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_k$ *or* $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{G\text{-}Sch}_k$*. Let* $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ *be a projective and birational envelope in* $\mathcal{C}$*. Let* $Y \to X$ *be a morphism in* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X} \times_X Y$*. Assume that* B_* *satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 5.5 and that the sequence* (5.2) *in Theorem 5.3 is exact (e.g. it is enough to assume that* B_* *satisfies* (D)*). Let* $\pi : \pi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} U$ *for some open dense* $U \subset X$*. Let* $S_i \subset X$ *be closed subschemes, such that* $X \setminus U = \cup S_i$*. Let* $E_i = \pi^{-1}(S_i)$ *and let* $\pi_i : E_i \to S_i$ *be the induced morphism. Then for a class* $\tilde{c} \in B^*(\tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X})$ *the following are equivalent:*

1. $\tilde{c} = \pi^*(c)$ *for some* $c \in B^*(Y \to X)$.
2. *For all* i, $\tilde{c}|_{E_i} = \pi_i^*(c_i)$ *for some* $c_i \in B^*(Y \times_X S_i \to S_i)$.

Proof. If $\tilde{c} = \pi^*(c)$ for some $c \in B^*(Y \to X)$, then by the functoriality of pull-backs $\tilde{c}|_{E_i} = \pi_i^*(c|_{S_i})$ for all i, and then (2) holds if we take $c_i = c|_{S_i} \in B^*(Y \times_X S_i \to S_i)$. Reciprocally, assume that there are classes c_i as in (2). Let $p_1, p_2 : \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ be the projections. By Theorem 5.3 it is enough to show that $p_1^*\tilde{c} = p_2^*\tilde{c}$, i.e., that for any morphism $f : Z \to \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$ and for any class $\alpha \in B_*Z$ we have that $(p_1^*\tilde{c})(\alpha) = (p_2^*\tilde{c})(\alpha)$. Let $f_i' : E_i \times_X E_i \to \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$ be the corresponding closed embeddings and let $\Delta : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$ be the diagonal morphism which is also a closed embedding. Notice that $\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$ is the union the closed subschemes $\Delta(\tilde{X})$ and $f_i'(E_i \times_X E_i)$ for all i. Let $Z_0 = f^{-1}(\Delta(\tilde{X}))$ and $Z_i = f^{-1}(f_i'(E_i \times_X E_i))$, with inclusions $\Delta' : Z_0 \to Z$ and $f_i'' : Z_i \to Z$, for each i. Then, by Lemma 5.5, in order to prove that $(p_1^*\tilde{c})(\alpha) = (p_2^*\tilde{c})(\alpha)$, we can assume that either $\alpha = f''_{i*}(\alpha_i)$ for some i and some $\alpha_i \in B_*(Z_i)$ or $\alpha = \Delta'_*(\alpha_0)$ for some $\alpha_0 \in B_*(Z_0)$. In the first case, for $j = 1$ and $j = 2$ consider the fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_i \times_X E_i \arrow[r, "f_i'"] \arrow[d, "p_j|"] & \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X} \arrow[d, "p_j"] \\
E_i \arrow[r, "\tilde{f_i}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_i"] & \tilde{X} \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
S_i \arrow[r, "f_i"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

with morphisms as labeled. Let $\Delta'' : Z_0 \times_X Y \to Z \times_X Y$ and $g_i'' : Z_i \times_X Y \to Z \times_X Y$ be the morphisms obtained from Δ' and f_i'' by base change. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(p_j^*\tilde{c})(\alpha) &= (p_j^*\tilde{c})(f''_{i*}(\alpha_i)) = g''_{i*}((p_j^*\tilde{c})(\alpha_i)) = g''_{i*}(\tilde{c}(\alpha_i)) \\
&= g''_{i*}((\tilde{f_i}^*\tilde{c})(\alpha_i)) = g''_{i*}((\pi_i^* c_i)(\alpha_i)) = g''_{i*}((p_j|^*\pi_i^* c_i)(\alpha_i)) \\
&= g''_{i*}(((\pi_i \circ p_j|)^* c_i)(\alpha_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_i \circ p_1| = \pi_i \circ p_2|$, it follows that in the first case $(p_1^*\tilde{c})(\alpha) = (p_2^*\tilde{c})(\alpha)$. In the second case, for $j = 1$ and $j = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(p_j^*\tilde{c})(\alpha) &= (p_j^*\tilde{c})(\Delta'_*(\alpha_0)) = \Delta''_*((p_j^*\tilde{c})(\alpha_0)) = \Delta''_*((\Delta^* p_j^*\tilde{c})(\alpha_0)) \\
&= \Delta''_*(((p_j \circ \Delta)^*\tilde{c})(\alpha_0)) = \Delta''_*((\mathrm{Id}_{\tilde{X}}^*\tilde{c})(\alpha_0)) = \Delta''_*(\tilde{c}(\alpha_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the second case $(p_1^*\tilde{c})(\alpha) = (p_2^*\tilde{c})(\alpha)$, and the proof is complete. □

5.4. **Kimura Type Descent Sequence for Bivariant Equivariant Theories and Inductive Computation of Bivariant Equivariant Groups.** Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6 proved above can be applied to the equivariant theory B_*^G, provided that it satisfies property (D). We can not prove property (D) for B_*^G assuming that it holds for B_*. We will therefore give a different proof of the statements of Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6 for B_*^G that depends only on B_* satisfying property (D). We give proofs for the bivariant cohomology groups $B_G^*(X)$ only.

Theorem 5.7. *Let* B_* *be an ROBM pre-homology theory on* Sch_k *that satisfies properties* (H), (L) *and* (D). *Let* $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ *be a projective envelope in* $G\text{-}\mathrm{Sch}_k$, *and let the terminology be as in Theorem 5.6.*

(a) *The following sequence is exact*

$$0 \to B^*_G(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} B^*_G(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{p_1^* - p_2^*} B^*_G(\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}).$$

(b) *If* π *is also birational, then for a class* $\tilde{c} \in B^*_G(\tilde{X})$ *the following are equivalent:*
   - *(1)* $\tilde{c} = \pi^*(c)$ *for some* $c \in B^*_G(X)$.
   - *(2) For all* i, $\tilde{c}|_{E_i} = \pi_i^*(c_i)$ *for some* $c_i \in B^*_G(S_i)$.

Proof. (a) Since for each i the map $\tilde{X} \times^G U_i \to X \times^G U_i$ is an envelope, by Corollary 5.4 the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B^*(X \times^G U_i) \longrightarrow B^*(\tilde{X} \times^G U_i) \longrightarrow B^*((\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}) \times^G U_i)$$

is exact. Applying the left exact functor $\varprojlim$ and using Proposition 5.2 gives the desired result.

(b) In view of part (a), the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.6 if we show that the ROBM pre-homology theory B^G_* satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 5.5.

For this, it is enough to consider the case $r = 2$, so we let $X = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ and Let $Z = Z_1 \coprod Z_2$. The projective morphism $Z \to X$ induced by the inclusions is an envelope, hence $B^G_*(Z) \to B^G_*(X)$ is surjective by Lemma 5.1 □

6. AN OVERVIEW OF ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM THEORY

In this section we recall the definition and main properties of *algebraic cobordism* Ω_*. This theory was constructed by Levine and Morel in [15]. Later, Levine and Pandharipande [16] found a geometric presentation of the cobordism groups. We will use the construction of Levine-Pandharipande as the definition, but refer to Levine-Morel for its properties. This construction and the proofs of some of facts stated below use resolution of singularities, factorization of birational maps and some Bertini-type theorems, then we will assume for the remainder of this article that the field k has characteristic zero.

The *equivariant algebraic cobordism* Ω^G_* was constructed first by Krishna [13] and by Heller and Malagón-López [11]. Krishna and Uma [14] showed how to compute the equivariant and ordinary cobordism groups of smooth toric varieties; we will recall their result in § 7.

For X in Sch_k, let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of projective morphisms $f : Y \to X$ for $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$. This set is a monoid under disjoint union of the domains; let $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ be its group completion. The elements of $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ are called cycles. The class of $f : Y \to X$ in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ is denoted $[f : Y \to X]$. The group $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ is free abelian, generated by the cycles $[f : Y \to X]$ where Y is irreducible.

A double point degeneration is a morphism $\pi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$, with $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ of pure dimension, such that $Y_\infty = \pi^{-1}(\infty)$ is a smooth divisor on Y and $Y_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ is a union $A \cup B$ of smooth divisors intersecting transversely along $D = A \cap B$. Define

$$\mathbb{P}_D = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_D(A) \oplus \mathcal{O}_D) = \mathrm{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathrm{Sym}^*_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathcal{O}_D(A) \oplus \mathcal{O}_D)),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_D(A)$ stands for $\mathcal{O}_Y(A)|_D$. (Notice that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_D(A) \oplus \mathcal{O}_D) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_D(B) \oplus \mathcal{O}_D)$ because $\mathcal{O}_D(A+B) \cong \mathcal{O}_D$.)

Let $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_k$ and let $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ have pure dimension. Let p_1, p_2 be the two projections of $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. A double point relation is defined by a projective morphism $\pi : Y \to X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, such that $p_2 \circ \pi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a double point degeneration. Let

$$[Y_\infty \to X], \quad [A \to X], \quad [B \to X], \quad [\mathbb{P}_D \to X]$$

be the cycles obtained by composing with p_1. The double point relation is

$$[Y_\infty \to X] - [A \to X] - [B \to X] + [\mathbb{P}_D \to X] \in \mathcal{M}^+(X).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(X)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ generated by all the double point relations. The cobordism group of X is defined to be

$$\Omega_*(X) = \mathcal{M}^+(X)/\mathcal{R}(X).$$

The group $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ is graded so that $[f : Y \to X]$ lies in degree $\dim Y$ when Y has pure dimension. Since double point relations are homogeneous, this grading gives a grading on $\Omega_*(X)$. We write $\Omega_n(X)$ for the degree n part of $\Omega_*(X)$.

There is a functorial push-forward homomorphism $f_* : \Omega_*(X) \to \Omega_*(Z)$ for $f : X \to Z$ projective, and a functorial pull-back homomorphism $g^* : \Omega_*(Z) \to \Omega_{*+d}(X)$ for $g : X \to Z$ a smooth morphism of relative dimension d. These homomorphisms are both defined on the cycle level; the pull-back does not preserve grading. The exterior product on $\Omega_*(X)$ is also defined on the cycle level:

$$[Y \to X] \times [Z \to W] = [Y \times Z \to X \times W].$$

A much harder result proved in [15] is the existence of functorial pull-backs g^* along l.c.i. morphisms g, and more generally, the existence of refined l.c.i. pull-backs.

The groups $\Omega_*(X)$ with these projective push-forward, l.c.i. pull-back and exterior products form an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory (see [15]). Moreover, with those refined l.c.i. pull-backs it is also an ROBM pre-homology theory (see [15]).

As in the case of a general ROBM pre-homology theory, $\Omega_*(\mathrm{Spec}\, k)$ is a ring, $\Omega_*(X)$ is a module over $\Omega_*(\mathrm{Spec}\, k)$ for general X, and $\Omega_*(X)$ is an algebra over $\Omega_*(\mathrm{Spec}\, k)$ for smooth X. When X is smooth and has pure dimension, we also use the cohomological notation

$$\Omega^*(X) = \Omega_{\dim X - *}(X).$$

Then $\Omega^*(X)$ is a graded algebra over the graded ring $\Omega^*(\operatorname{Spec} k)$. The class $1_X = [\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to X]$ is the identity of the algebra. Similar conventions are used for the equivariant cobordism groups.

Remark 6.1. Algebraic cobordism satisfies the homotopy property (H) and the localization property (L) [15], as well as the descent property (D) [10]. It follows that *everything* proved in the previous sections for general ROBM pre-homology theories can be applied to the algebraic cobordism theory. This includes the construction of the equivariant cobordism theory Ω_*^G, the operational cobordism theory Ω^* and the operational equivariant cobordism theory Ω_G^*. This also includes the descent exact sequences for the operational theories Ω^* and Ω_G^* and the inductive method for their computation using envelopes.

The following part of Theorem 5.7 applied to $\Omega_G^*(X)$ will be used in the next section.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a projective birational envelope in G-Sch_k, with $\pi : \pi^{-1}(U) \overset{\cong}{\to} U$ for some open nonempty G-equivariant $U \subset X$. Let $S_i \subset X$ be closed G-equivariant subschemes, such that $X \smallsetminus U = \cup S_i$. Let $E_i = \pi^{-1}(S_i)$ and let $\pi_i : E_i \to S_i$ be the induced morphism. Then $\pi^* : \Omega_G^*(X) \to \Omega_G^*(\tilde{X})$ is injective and for a class $\tilde{c} \in \Omega_G^*(\tilde{X})$ the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\tilde{c} = \pi^*(c)$ for some $c \in \Omega_G^*(X)$.*
2. *For all i, $\tilde{c}|_{E_i} = \pi_i^*(c_i)$ for some $c_i \in \Omega_G^*(S_i)$.*

6.1. **Formal group law.** Algebraic cobordism is endowed with first Chern class operators associated to line bundles, whose definition agrees with the one in Definition 2.9. We recall the formal group law satisfied by these operators.

A *formal group law* on a commutative ring R is a power series $F_R(u,v) \in R[[u,v]]$ satisfying

(a) $F_R(u,0) = F_R(0,u) = u$,
(b) $F_R(u,v) = F_R(v,u)$,
(c) $F_R(F_R(u,v),w) = F_R(u,F_R(v,w))$.

Thus

$$F_R(u,v) = u + v + \sum_{i,j>0} a_{i,j} u^i v^j,$$

where $a_{i,j} \in R$ satisfy $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$ and some additional relations coming from property (c). We think of F_R as giving a formal addition

$$u +_{F_R} v = F_R(u,v).$$

There exists a unique power series $\chi(u) \in R[[u]]$ such that $F_R(u,\chi(u)) = 0$. Denote $[-1]_{F_R} u = \chi(u)$. Composing F_R and χ, we can form linear combinations

$$[n_1]_{F_R} u_1 +_{F_R} [n_2]_{F_R} u_2 +_{F_R} \cdots +_{F_R} [n_r]_{F_R} u_r \in R[[u_1,\ldots,u_r]]$$

for $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and u_i variables.

There exists a universal formal group law $F_{\mathbb{L}}$, and its coefficient ring $\mathbb{L}$ is called the *Lazard ring*. This ring can be constructed as the quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[A_{i,j}]_{i,j>0}$ by the relations imposed by the three axioms above. The images of the variables $A_{i,j}$ in the quotient ring are the coefficients $a_{i,j}$ of the formal group law $F_{\mathbb{L}}$. It is shown in [15] that $\Omega^*(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is isomorphic as a graded ring to $\mathbb{L}$ with grading induced by letting $A_{i,j}$ have degree $-i-j+1$. The power series $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)$ is then homogeneous of degree 1 if u and v both have degree 1.

The formal group law on $\mathbb{L}$ describes the first Chern class operators of tensor products of line bundles:

$$\tilde{c}_1(L \otimes M) = F_{\mathbb{L}}(\tilde{c}_1(L), \tilde{c}_1(M))$$

for any line bundles L and M on any scheme X in Sch_k.

7. Operational Equivariant Cobordism of Toric Varieties

Let X_Δ be a smooth quasiprojective toric variety corresponding to a fan Δ. Krishna and Uma in [14] showed that the T-equivariant cobordism ring of X_Δ is isomorphic to the ring of piecewise power series on the fan Δ. Our goal here is to show that for any fan Δ, the ring of piecewise power series on Δ is isomorphic to the operational T-equivariant cobordism ring of X_Δ. When X_Δ is smooth, this follows from the result of Krishna and Uma by Poincaré duality. For singular X_Δ we follow the argument of Payne [18] in the case of Chow theory to reduce to the smooth case.

We use the standard notation for toric varieties [6]. Let $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a lattice. It determines a split torus T with character lattice $M = \operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$. A toric variety X_Δ is defined by a fan Δ in N.

Every quasiprojective toric variety X_Δ with torus T is in the category T-Sch_k, since each line bundle on such variety admits a T-linearization. We will write $\Omega_*^T(X_\Delta)$ for the T-equivariant cobordism group of X_Δ. For a smooth X_Δ we also use the cohomological notation $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta) = \Omega^T_{\dim X_\Delta - *}(X_\Delta)$. The operational T-equivariant cobordism ring is denoted $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta)$. For smooth X_Δ, the two definitions of $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta)$ are identified via Poincaré duality.

7.1. **Graded Power Series Rings.** Let $A = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$ be a commutative graded ring. The *graded power series ring* is

$$A[\![t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n]\!]_{gr} = \oplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} S_d.$$

Here S_d is the group of degree d homogeneous power series $\sum_I a_I t^I$, where the sum runs over multi-indices $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, $t^I = t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n}$, and $a_I \in A_{d-i_1-\cdots-i_n}$.

Let T be a torus determined by a lattice N, and let $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n$ a basis for the dual lattice M. It is shown in [13] that the equivariant cobordism ring of a point with trivial T-action is isomorphic to

$$\Omega^*_T(\operatorname{Spec} k) \cong \mathbb{L}[\![t_1, \dots, t_n]\!]_{gr},$$

with t_i corresponding to the first Chern class transformation $\tilde{c}_1{}^T(L_{\chi_i})$ of the equivariant line bundle L_{χ_i}. More generally, for any smooth G-variety X, let T act trivially on X. Then

$$\Omega^*_{G\times T}(X) \cong \Omega^*_G(X)[\![t_1, \dots, t_n]\!]_{gr}, \tag{7.1}$$

where, as before, t_i correspond to the first Chern class transformations of L_{χ_i} pulled back to X. Both of these formulas are proved using the projective bundle formula from [15] in the cobordism ring.

As a matter of terminology, we identify t_i with χ_i and view these variables as linear functions on N (or on $N_\mathbb{R} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$). The ring $S = \mathbb{L}[\![t_1, \dots, t_n]\!]_{gr}$ is called the ring of graded power series on N (or on $N_\mathbb{R}$). The variables t_i have the following functorial property. Let $N' \to N$ be a morphism of lattices, giving rise to an algebraic group homomorphism $T' \to T$ of the corresponding tori. Assume that the image of N' in N is saturated. We can then choose a basis $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$ for M and $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m$ for $M' = \operatorname{Hom}(N', \mathbb{Z})$ so that the dual map $M \to M'$ takes:

$$\chi_i \mapsto \begin{cases} \nu_i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, l, \\ 0 & \text{for } i = l+1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then the pull-back map

$$\Omega^*_T(\operatorname{Spec} k) \cong \mathbb{L}[\![t_i, \dots, t_n]\!]_{gr} \to \Omega^*_{T'}(\operatorname{Spec} k) \cong \mathbb{L}[\![u_1, \dots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$$

is an $\mathbb{L}$-algebra homomorphism taking

$$t_i \mapsto \begin{cases} u_i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, l, \\ 0 & \text{for } i = l+1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

(The map of variables is extended to the morphism of power series rings in an obvious way.) We view the morphism of power series rings as pulling back power series from N to N'.

As a special case, consider a saturated sublattice $N' \subset N$ giving rise to a subtorus $T' \subset T$. When the bases for M and M' are chosen appropriately, the pull-back map

$$\Omega^*_T(\operatorname{Spec} k) \to \Omega^*_{T'}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$$

can then be identified with restriction of power series from N to N'.

A little caution must be used when identifying $\Omega^*_T(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ with the ring of power series $\mathbb{L}[\![t_1, \dots, t_n]\!]_{gr}$. This identification depends on the chosen basis $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$ for M. When we change the basis to $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n$, with the corresponding power series ring $\mathbb{L}[\![u_1, \dots, u_n]\!]_{gr}$, then the relation between t_i and u_j is not linear like the relation between χ_i and ν_j. If $\nu_j = \sum_i a_{ji}\chi_i$, then one has to use the formal group law $F_\mathbb{L}$ to express

$$u_j = [a_{j1}]_{F_\mathbb{L}} t_1 +_{F_\mathbb{L}} [a_{j2}]_{F_\mathbb{L}} t_2 +_{F_\mathbb{L}} \cdots +_{F_\mathbb{L}} [a_{jn}]_{F_\mathbb{L}} t_n.$$

The result is a power series with only linear terms in t_i equal to $\sum_i a_{ji} t_i$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between cones $\sigma \in \Delta$ and T-orbits in X_Δ. Let O_σ be the orbit corresponding to a cone σ. Then the stabilizer (of a point) of O_σ is the subtorus T' of T corresponding to the sublattice $N' = \operatorname{Span} \sigma \cap N \subset N$. Morita isomorphism [14] then gives

$$\Omega^*_T(O_\sigma) = \Omega^*_T(T \times^{T'} \operatorname{Spec} k) \cong \Omega^*_{T'}(\operatorname{Spec} k).$$

We denote $S_\sigma = \Omega^*_T(O_\sigma) \cong \mathbb{L}[\![t_1, \dots, t_m]\!]_{gr}$, $m = \dim \sigma$, and call it the ring of graded power series on σ. When τ is a face of σ, we have the inclusion of lattices $\operatorname{Span} \tau \cap N \subset \operatorname{Span} \sigma \cap N$, giving rise to the restriction map $S_\sigma \to S_\tau$. With the basis of M chosen compatibly, this restriction map is the restriction of power series.

7.2. **The Sheaf of Piecewise Graded Power Series.** A *sheaf* F *of abelian groups on a fan* Δ consists of the data:

1. An abelian group F_σ for every $\sigma \in \Delta$, called the *stalk* of F at σ.
2. A morphism $\operatorname{res}^\sigma_\tau : F_\sigma \to F_\tau$ for $\tau \leq \sigma$, called the *restriction map*.

The restriction maps must satisfy:

1. $\operatorname{res}^\sigma_\sigma = \operatorname{Id}_{F_\sigma}$.
2. $\operatorname{res}^\tau_\rho \circ \operatorname{res}^\sigma_\tau = \operatorname{res}^\sigma_\rho$ for $\rho \leq \tau \leq \sigma$.

One can give a topology on the set of cones Δ by defining the open sets to be subfans of Δ. Then F described above gives a sheaf on this topological space.

The group of global sections $F(\Delta)$ of a sheaf F is the set of $(f_\sigma) \in \prod_{\sigma \in \Delta} F_\sigma$, such that $\operatorname{res}^\sigma_\tau(f_\sigma) = f_\tau$ for every $\tau \leq \sigma$. To give a global section of F, it suffices to give f_σ for maximal cones σ only.

For a cone $\rho \in \Delta$, the *star* $\operatorname{St} \rho = \{\sigma \in \Delta | \rho \leq \sigma\}$ is a closed set in the fan topology. Let $F(\operatorname{St} \rho)$ be the group of global sections of the sheaf $F|_{\operatorname{St} \rho}$. It consists of elements $(f_\sigma) \in \prod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{St} \rho} F_\sigma$, such that $\operatorname{res}^\sigma_\tau(f_\sigma) = f_\tau$ for every $\tau \leq \sigma \in \operatorname{St} \rho$.

Define the *sheaf* PPS *of piecewise power graded series on* Δ *with coefficients in the Lazard ring* as the sheaf with stalks $PPS_\sigma = S_\sigma$ and restriction maps $\operatorname{res}^\sigma_\tau$ the restriction maps $S_\sigma \to S_\tau$ as described above. The global sections $PPS(\Delta)$ are called *piecewise graded power series with coefficients in the Lazard ring on* Δ. For short, we refer to PPS as the sheaf of piecewise power series on Δ and to its global sections $PPS(\Delta)$ as piecewise power series on Δ. Note that the sheaf PPS is a sheaf of graded S-algebras: all stalks S_σ are graded S-algebras and the restriction maps are homomorphisms of graded S-algebras. It follows that $PPS(\Delta)$ is also a graded S-algebra. Similarly, $PPS(\operatorname{St} \rho)$ is the graded S-algebra of piecewise power series on $\operatorname{St} \rho$.

We must again use caution when working with the restriction maps $S_\sigma \to S_\tau$. These are restrictions of power series, once we have chosen a suitable basis for M. When τ' is another face of σ, representing the restriction map $S_\sigma \to S_{\tau'}$ as restriction of power series may require a different basis for M that is compatible with σ and τ'. The change of basis gives rise to an isomorphism of the graded power series rings that involves the formal group law.

7.3. **Operational Equivariant Cobordism of Toric Varieties.**

The inclusion map $i_\sigma : O_\sigma \hookrightarrow X_\Delta$ is an l.c.i. morphism when X_Δ is smooth, hence there exists the pull-back map:

$$i_\sigma^* : \Omega_T^*(X_\Delta) \to \Omega_T^*(O_\sigma) = S_\sigma.$$

The following theorem was proved in [14].

Theorem 7.1. *(Krishna-Uma) Let* X_Δ *be a smooth quasiprojective toric variety. Then the morphism of* S*-algebras*

$$\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta) \xrightarrow{(i_\sigma^*)} \prod_{\sigma\in\Delta} S_\sigma$$

is injective and the image is equal to the S*-algebra* $\mathrm{PPS}(\Delta)$ *of piecewise graded power series on* Δ *with coefficients in the Lazard ring.*

In the proof of Krishna and Uma, the group $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta)$ stands for the cohomological notation of $\Omega^T_{\dim X_\Delta - *}(X_\Delta)$ and the maps i_σ^* are l.c.i. pull-backs. We claim that the same statement is true for general X_Δ when $\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta)$ stands for the operational cobordism group and i_σ^* is the pull-back morphism in the operational theory.

Theorem 7.2. *Let* X_Δ *be a quasiprojective toric variety. Then the morphism of* S*-algebras*

$$\Omega_T^*(X_\Delta) \xrightarrow{(i_\sigma^*)} \prod_{\sigma\in\Delta} S_\sigma$$

is injective and the image is equal to the S*-algebra* $\mathrm{PPS}(\Delta)$ *of piecewise graded power series on* Δ *with coefficients in the Lazard ring.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on $\dim X_\Delta$. In the inductive proof we will need a slightly stronger statement. Let T' be another split torus with character lattice M'. Fix a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ of M' and the corresponding isomorphism $\Omega_{T'}^*(\operatorname{Spec} k) \cong \mathbb{L}[\![u_1, \ldots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$. We let T' act trivially on X_Δ.

Instead of the theorem, we prove the following stronger statement:

Claim. *The morphism*

$$\Omega_{T\times T'}^*(X_\Delta) \xrightarrow{(i_\sigma^*)} \prod_{\sigma\in\Delta} S_\sigma[\![u_1, \ldots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$$

is injective and the image is equal to the graded $S[\![u_1, \ldots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$*-algebra* $\mathrm{PPS}(\Delta)[\![u_1, \ldots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$.

To simplify notation, let $\tilde{T} = T \times T'$, $\tilde{S} = S[\![u_1, \dots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$, $\tilde{S}_\sigma = S_\sigma[\![u_1, \dots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$. The restriction maps $\tilde{S}_\sigma \to \tilde{S}_\tau$ are obtained by applying the restriction maps $S_\sigma \to S_\tau$ to the coefficients of a power series. This collection of rings and restriction maps defines a sheaf $\widetilde{PPS}$ on Δ, with global sections $\widetilde{PPS}(\Delta) = PPS(\Delta)[\![u_1, \dots, u_m]\!]_{gr}$. We also call the $\tilde{S}$-algebra $\widetilde{PPS}(\Delta)$ the ring of piecewise power series on Δ.

For smooth X_Δ the claim follows from Theorem 7.1 and Equation (7.1).

When X_Δ is singular, we can resolve its singularities by a sequence of star subdivisions of Δ:

$$X_\Delta \longleftarrow X_{\Delta'} \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow X_{\Delta''}.$$

We may assume by induction on the number of star subdivisions that the claim holds for $X_{\Delta'}$. The morphism $f : X_{\Delta'} \to X_\Delta$ is the blowup of X_Δ along a closed subscheme $C \subset X_\Delta$ with support $|C|$ equal to the orbit closure $V_\pi = \overline{O}_\pi$, where $\pi \in \Delta$ is the cone containing the subdivision ray in its relative interior. Let $\rho \in \Delta'$ be the new ray. Then the exceptional divisor $E = f^{-1}(C)$ has support $|E| = V_\rho$. The morphism f is a birational envelope. In order to use Theorem 6.2, we need to identify $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(C), \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(E)$ and the pull-back map between them.

Lemma 7.3. *For any $0 \neq \pi \in \Delta$, the map*

$$\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\pi) \xrightarrow{(i^*_\sigma)} \prod_{\sigma \in St(\pi)} \tilde{S}_\sigma$$

is injective and the image is equal to the graded $\tilde{S}$-algebra $\widetilde{PPS}(St\,\pi)$ of piecewise power series on $St\,\pi$.

Proof. The orbit closure V_π is again a toric variety corresponding to the fan Δ_π that is the image of $St\,\pi$ in $N/(\mathrm{Span}\,\pi \cap N)$. We split $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, where $N_2 = \mathrm{Span}\,\pi \cap N$, and consider Δ_π as a fan in N_1. Splitting the lattice corresponds to decomposing the torus $T = T_1 \times T_2$, where T_1 is the big torus in V_π and T_2 acts trivially on V_π. Since $\dim V_\pi < \dim X_\Delta$, we have by induction

$$\Omega^*_{T_1 \times T_2 \times T'}(V_\pi) \cong PPS(\Delta_\pi)[\![t_1, \dots, t_l]\!]_{gr}[\![u_1, \dots, u_m]\!]_{gr}, \tag{7.2}$$

where $t_1, \dots, t_l$ correspond to a basis of the dual lattice of N_2, hence $S_\pi \cong \mathbb{L}[\![t_1, \dots, t_l]\!]_{gr}$. The isomorphism in (7.2) is defined by pull-back maps

$$i^*_\sigma : \Omega^*_{T_1 \times T_2 \times T'}(V_\pi) \to \Omega^*_{T_1 \times T_2 \times T'}(O_\sigma)$$

for $\sigma \in St\,\pi$. Here we used the fact that if $\bar{\sigma}$ is the image of σ in Δ_π, then $O_\sigma = O_{\bar{\sigma}}$ as subsets of X_Δ. Since $S_\sigma = S_{\bar{\sigma}}[\![t_1, \dots, t_l]\!]_{gr}$ for every $\sigma \in St\,\pi$, it follows that

$$PPS(\Delta_\pi)[\![t_1, \dots, t_l]\!]_{gr} \cong PPS(St\,\pi).$$

This implies the statement of the lemma. □

We can apply the previous lemma to $\rho \in \Delta'$, to get that $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\rho)$. Moreover, the pull-back map $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_{\Delta'}) \to \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho)$ is simply the restriction of piecewise power series $\widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\Delta') \to \widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\rho)$.

Next we describe the pull-back morphism $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\pi) \to \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho)$. Note that every cone in $\sigma \in \operatorname{St}\rho$ lies in some cone of $\tau \in \operatorname{St}\pi$. Choosing a basis for M compatibly with σ and τ gives a restriction map of power series $\tilde{S}_\tau \to \tilde{S}_\sigma$. It is easy to see that these maps combine to give a well defined pull-back map of piecewise power series $\widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\pi) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\rho)$.

Lemma 7.4. *The pull-back morphism*

$$\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\pi) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\pi) \to \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\rho)$$

is the pull-back of piecewise power series.

Proof. Define a map $\phi : \Delta' \to \Delta$ so that $\phi(\sigma)$ is the smallest cone in Δ containing σ. Then the map $f : X_{\Delta'} \to X_\Delta$ takes O_σ onto $O_{\phi(\sigma)}$. Choosing an appropriate basis for M, the pull-back morphism

$$\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(O_{\phi(\sigma)}) = \tilde{S}_{\phi(\sigma)} \to \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(O_\sigma) = \tilde{S}_\sigma$$

is the restriction of power series.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho) \arrow[r] & \prod_{\sigma\in\operatorname{St}\rho} \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(O_\sigma) \\
\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\pi) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \prod_{\tau\in\operatorname{St}\pi} \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(O_\tau), \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all maps are pull-back morphisms. The right vertical map sends (s_τ) to (t_σ), such that t_σ is the image of $s_{\phi(\sigma)}$; this map restricts to the pull-back of piecewise power series $\widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\pi) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{PPS}}(\operatorname{St}\rho)$. Now it suffices to show that the diagram commutes, which follows from the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_\rho \arrow[d] & O_\sigma \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
V_\pi & O_{\phi(\sigma)} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{St}\rho$. □

To finish the proof of the claim, we apply Theorem 6.2 with $S_1 = C^{\mathrm{red}} = V_\pi$ and $E_1 = E^{\mathrm{red}} = V_\rho$. Since the pull-back map $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\pi) \to \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho)$ is injective, Theorem 6.2 implies that we have a Cartesian diagram, with all maps pull-backs:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_\Delta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\pi) \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_{\Delta'}) \arrow[r] & \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(V_\rho).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following diagram of piecewise power series and pull-back maps is clearly Cartesian:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{PPS}(\Delta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{PPS}(\mathrm{St}\,\pi) \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{PPS}(\Delta') \arrow[r] & \widetilde{PPS}(\mathrm{St}\,\rho).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_\Delta)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{PPS}(\Delta)$. To see that the isomorphism comes from the maps (i^*_σ) as stated in the claim, we only need to consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_\Delta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod_{\sigma\in\Delta} S_\sigma \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_{\Delta'}) \arrow[r] & \prod_{\tau\in\Delta'} S_\tau,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the right vertical morphism is defined by the map $\phi : \Delta' \to \Delta$ as above. Commutativity of the diagram shows that the inclusion map

$$\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_\Delta) \hookrightarrow \Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_{\Delta'}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{PPS}(\Delta')$$

factors through $\prod_{\sigma\in\Delta} S_\sigma$. The image of $\Omega^*_{\tilde{T}}(X_\Delta)$ in $\prod_{\sigma\in\Delta} S_\sigma$ is then equal to $\widetilde{PPS}(\Delta)$. □

REFERENCES

[1] Dave Anderson and Sam Payne. Operational K-theory. *arXiv: 1301.0425*.

[2] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.

[3] Michel Brion. Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions. *Transform. Groups*, 2(3):225–267, 1997.

[4] Michel Brion and Michèle Vergne. An equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem for complete, simplicial toric varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 482:67–92, 1997.

[5] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Equivariant intersection theory. *Invent. Math.*, 131(3):595–634, 1998.

[6] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.

[7] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

[8] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson. Categorical framework for the study of singular spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(243):vi+165, 1981.

[9] Henri Gillet. *Homological descent for the K-theory of coherent sheaves, Proc. Bielefeld 1982*, volume 1046 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[10] José Luis González and Kalle Karu. Descent for algebraic cobordism. *arXiv: 1301.3292*.

[11] Jeremiah Heller and José Malagón-López. Equivariant algebraic cobordism. *arXiv: 1006.5509*.

[12] Shun-ichi Kimura. Fractional intersection and bivariant theory. *Comm. Algebra*, 20(1):285–302, 1992.

[13] Amalendu Krishna. Equivariant cobordism of schemes. *Doc. Math.*, 17:95–134, 2012.

[14] Amalendu Krishna and V. Uma. Cobordism ring of toric varieties. *arXiv: 1011.0573*.

[15] Marc Levine and Fabien Morel. *Algebraic cobordism*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[16] Marc Levine and Rahul Pandharipande. Algebraic cobordism revisited. *Invent. Math.*, 176(1):63–130, 2009.

[17] David Mumford, John Fogarty, and Frances Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1994.

[18] Sam Payne. Equivariant Chow cohomology of toric varieties. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(1):29–41, 2006.

[19] Burt Totaro. The Chow ring of a classifying space. In *Algebraic* K*-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997)*, volume 67 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 249–281. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[20] Gabriele Vezzosi and Angelo Vistoli. Higher algebraic K-theory for actions of diagonalizable groups. *Invent. Math.*, 153(1):1–44, 2003.

[21] Shoji Yokura. Oriented bivariant theories. I. *Internat. J. Math.*, 20(10):1305–1334, 2009.

J.L. GONZÁLEZ, DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T1Z2, CANADA

K. KARU, DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T1Z2, CANADA

E-mail address: `jgonza@math.ubc.ca, karu@math.ubc.ca`